MEETING

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA

HORSE RACING BOARD

In the Matter of:
Regular Meeting

SANTA ANITA RACE TRACK PARK

BALDWIN TERRACE ROOM

285 WEST HUNGTINGTON DRIVE

ARCADIA, CALIFORNIA

THURSDAY, MARCH 28, 2019

9:30 A.M.

Reported by:

Martha Nelson

## APPEARANCES

## COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Chuck Winner, Chair

Madeline Auerbach, Vice Chair

Fred Maas, Commissioner

Alex Solis, Commissioner

Dennis Alfieri, Commissioner

### STAFF

Rick Baedeker, Executive Director

Jacqueline Wagner, Assistant Executive Director

John McDonough, Chief Counsel

Robert Brodnick, Staff Counsel

Mike Marten, Associate Analyst

Rick Arthur, Equine Medical Director

Darrel McHarque, Chief Steward

## ALSO PRESENT

Boone McCanna, Down the Stretch Ranch

John Valenzuela, Pari-Mutual Guild Local 280

Doug Burge, California Thoroughbred Breeders Association

Jamil Brown, Racing Media

Pavla McGaard, Thor-Bred Stables LLC

# APPEARANCES

# ALSO PRESENT

Denny Boultinghouse

Larry Swartzlander, California Authority of Racing Fairs

Eric Sindler, Golden Gate Fields

George Haines, Southern California Off-Track Wagering, Inc.

Tim Ritvo, Stronach Group

Mike Rogers, Stronach Group

Matt Iuliano, Jockey Club

Terry Meyocks, Jockeys' Guild

Kathy Guillermo, PETA

Belinda Stronach, Stronach Group

Dionne Benson, Racing Medication and Testing Consortium

Susan Branch

Greg Avioli, Thoroughbred Owners of California

Craig Fravel

PAGE

#### INDEX

# Action Items:

- 1. Approval of the minutes of November 29, 2018.
- 2. Approval of the minutes of February 21, 2019.
- 3. Executive Director's Report. 3
- 4. Public Comment: Communications, reports, requests 5 for future actions of the Board. Note: Persons addressing the Board under this item will be restricted to three (3) minutes for their presentations.
- from the California Authority of Racing Fairs,

  (CARF) to designate the following 2019 allocated race dates as a combined fair horse racing meeting, pursuant to Business and Professions

  Code section 19549.1: Alameda County Fair at Pleasanton, June 13, 2019 through July 7, 2019;

  California Exposition and State Fair,

  July 12, 2019 through July 28, 2019; Humboldt

  County Fair at Ferndale, August 16, 2019 through August 25, 2019; and the Big Fresno Fair,

  October 4, 2019 through October 14, 2019.

PAGE

#### INDEX

## Action Items:

- 6. Discussion and action by the Board on the

  Renewal Application for License to Operate a

  Minisatellite Wagering Facility from the

  Firehouse Bar and Restaurant in Bakersfield,

  California, for a period of up to five years.
- 7. Discussion by the Board on a report from Los 27

  Angeles Turf Club (LATC) regarding actions taken
  to address equine fatalities at Santa Anita Park.
- 8. Discussion and action by the Board regarding the 55 proposed amendment to CHRB Rule 1688, Use of Riding Crop, to limit the use of the riding crop to protect the safety of the horse and rider.
- 9. Discussion by the Board regarding safety-related 43 issues and race meetings; including weather-related track maintenance procedures, maximum number of races allowed, changes to medication regulations, enhanced pre-race examinations and staffing requirement for track veterinarians.
- 10. Discussion and action by the Board regarding the 94
  Board's authority to transfer a race meeting or a

#### INDEX

PAGE

# Action Items:

portion of a race meeting to another track if necessary as authorized pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 19489.

- 11. Discussion and action by the Board regarding the 101 proposal to suspend one or more medications authorized in CHRB Rule 1844, Authorized Medication, for all horses participating in a horse race meeting at Santa Anita Park and/or Golden Gate Fields pursuant to CHRB Rule 1844.1, suspension of Authorized Medication.
- 12. Discussion and action by the Board regarding the 114 proposal to suspend one or more medications authorized in CHRB Rule 1844, Authorized Medication, for all horses participating in all licensed horse race meetings for 12 months pursuant to CHRB Rule 1844.1, Suspension of Authorized Medication.
- 13. Discussion and action by the Board regarding 118
  approval of agreement, pursuant to CHRB Rule 1581,
  Racing Secretary to Establish Conditions, between
  the Stronach Group (TSG) and the Thoroughbred Owners

#### INDEX

PAGE

# Action Items:

of California (TOC) regarding entry conditions involving medication to be implemented by Los Angeles Turf Club (LATC) and Pacific Racing Association (PRA) at Santa Anita Park and Golden Gate Fields, respectively.

- 14. Closed Session: For the purpose of receiving advice from counsel, considering pending litigation, reaching decisions on administrative licensing and disciplinary hearings, and personnel matters, as authorized by section 11126 of the Government Code.
  - A. The Board may convene a Closed Session to confer with and receive advice from its legal counsel regarding the pending litigation described in the attachment to this agenda captioned "Pending Litigation," and as authorized by Government Code section 11126(e).
  - B. The Board may convene a Closed Session to confer with and receive advice from its legal counsel regarding the pending administrative licensing or disciplinary

#### INDEX

PAGE

# Action Items:

matters described in the attachment to this agenda captioned "Pending Administrative Adjudications," as authorized by Government Code section 11126(e).

C. The Board may convene a Closed Session for the purposes of considering personnel matters as authorized by Government Code section 11126(a).

9:29 A.M.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# PROCEEDINGS

ARCADIA, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, MARCH 28, 2019

CHAIR WINNER: Ladies and gentlemen, this meeting of the California Horse Racing Board will please come to order. Please take your seats. This is the regular noticed meeting of the California Horse Racing Board on Thursday, March 28, 2019 at Santa Anita Race Track, 285 West Huntington Drive, Arcadia, California.

Present at today's meeting are: myself, Chairman Chuck Winner, Chairman; Vice Chairman Madeline Auerbach; Dennis Alfieri, Commissioner; Fred Maas, Commissioner; and Alex Solis, Commissioner.

> Is that everybody? Yeah.

Before we go on to the business of the meeting, I need to make a few comments. The Board invites public comment on the matters appearing on the meeting agenda. The Board also invites comments from those present today on matters not appearing on the agenda during a public comment period if the matter concerns horse racing in California.

In order to ensure all individuals have an opportunity to speak and the meeting proceeds in a timely fashion, I'll strictly enforce the three-minute time limit rule for each speaker. The three-minute time limit rule

will be enforced during discussion of all matters as stated on the agenda, as well as during the public comment period.

2.3

There's a public comment sign-in sheet for each agenda matter on which the Board invites comments. Also, there's a sign-in sheet for those wishing to speak during the public comment period for matters not appearing on the Board's agenda if it concerns horse racing in California. Please print your name legibly on the public comment sign-in sheet.

When a matter is open for public comment, your name will be called. Please come to the podium and introduce yourself by stating your name and organization clearly. This is necessary for the court reporter to have a clear record of all who speak.

For those of you who haven't done it before, go see this gentleman right here, Mike Marten, and he will give you a card to fill out and we'll call your name when we get to that agenda item.

When your three minutes are up I'll ask you to return to your seat so others can be heard.

When all the names have been called, I'll ask if there's anyone else who would like to speak on the matter before the Board. Also, the Board may ask questions of the individuals who speak. If a speaker repeats herself or himself, I will ask if the speaker has any new comments to

If there are none, the speaker will be asked to let make. others make comments to the Board. 2 Okay, now with that, as we had indicated in a memo 3 4 to those who we knew were coming today and to the media, we 5 are now going to move to an Executive Session. Our guess is 6 that it will be somewhere around 30 to 45 minutes. And then 7 the meeting will convene at approximately 10:15. 8 Thank you all very much. 9 (Whereupon the Board convened an Executive Session from 9:32 a.m., until 10:19 a.m.) 10 11 CHAIR WINNER: Good morning. We'll start with the 12 approval of the minutes from the November 29th meeting. 13 only those people who were at the meeting can approve those 14 minutes, so let's see if we have enough here. No, we don't. 15 VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: I was there. 16 CHAIR WINNER: No, we can't. We have to move that 17 to the next. We don't have four votes. 18 MR. BRODNIK: Okay. 19 CHAIR WINNER: Okay. Moving on to item two, 20 approval of the minutes from the February 21st meeting. 21 there, we are in good shape. 22 Are there any additions, corrections, changes to 2.3 the minutes? These are the minutes from the meeting of 24 February 21st. 25 VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: So moved.

```
1
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Moved by Vice Chair Auerbach.
              COMMISSIONER MAAS:
                                  Second.
 2
              CHAIR WINNER: Seconded by Commissioner Maas to
 3
 4
    approve the minutes.
              Commissioner Alfieri?
 5
 6
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Yes.
              COMMISSIONER MAAS: Yes.
 8
              CHAIR WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
 9
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
              CHAIR WINNER: Chairman votes yes.
10
11
              Vice Chair?
12
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Yes.
13
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay. The minutes are approved.
              We'll move on to item number three which is the
14
15
   Executive Director's Report.
16
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                            Thank you, Mr.
17
    Chairman.
              Chairman Winner has asked the Medication and
18
19
    Safety Committee to conduct a meeting on April 17th.
20
   will be the day before the next scheduled Board meeting.
21
              Among the topics that the Chair of the Committee,
    Commissioner Auerbach and Commissioner Solis are considering
22
2.3
    for the agenda include: discussion regarding the use of
24
   bisphosphonates in racehorses; a discussion of the
25
   regulation of permitted medications during workouts to
```

establish threshold restrictions on permitted medications, to limit the anti-inflammatory medications further than what might be discussed by the Board, same thing with the limit of one anti-inflammatory, same thing for corticosteroids; also a discussion about requiring trainers to maintain full and accurate records of all treatments given to a horse, in addition, of course, to the medical records that are get by the veterinarian and make those records available for inspection by representatives of the CHRB in their official duties; also to change what is now a voluntary necropsy review with the owner-trainer veterinarian associated with any horse that is lost to make that mandatory. And also, this is more of an operational item, but to mandate that all of these records are moved to a digital format, the recordkeeping to a digital format, to allow better use of that information by all the parties. will, of course, allow Staff working with all of the stakeholders to expedite electronic reporting. Again, that Medication Committee meeting will be on the 17th. And that's my report, Mr. Chairman. CHAIR WINNER: Thank you very much, Mr. Baedeker. All right, let's move on them to public comment. I have several cards and I'm just going to take them in order.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Boone -- and I hope I pronounce these names correctly, I apologize if I don't -- Boone McCanna.

2.3

Please introduce yourself and state your organization.

MR. MCCANNA: Okay. Hello. I'm Boone McCanna and I cofounded Down the Stretch Ranch a little over four years ago with Jerry and Janet Hollendorfer. And over the last couple years a lot of people in the room here have helped out a ton.

We currently have 30 retired thoroughbreds, all raced in California. And we use those horses to do equine therapy with military veterans, at-risk and homeless children. And I've seen firsthand over these years that these horses are saving lives and positively effecting the lives of a lot of kids.

I think what some people in this room need to know about our industry is that from the time these horses are born, throughout their careers and into their retirement, they receive excellent care. They're bred to race and they love to race and I witness it when I see 20 horses from the age of 5 to 20 smoking across 100-acre field.

When I was working at Golden Gate some years ago I came to the track on a Sunday. And you know, I get up to the track and there's a picket line, people saying, stop horse racing, cruelty to animals. So I kind of went up to

```
the rough-looking guy that was a loud mouth and I said,
 2
    "Give me five minutes."
              I took him over to my brother's barn and I was
 3
    like, "You know, look at these horses. Do they look abused
 4
 5
   or like they're not well treated?" And I said, "You had to
 6
   drive by those homeless people on the way to the track,
 7
    didn't you?"
 8
              And he kind of looked at me and he goes, "You
 9
    know, you're 100 percent right. You know, I live in that
10
   homeless camp and somebody came by and gave me $25.00 to
11
   hold this sign and raise hell against your industry."
12
              And to me, that's wrong.
13
              When people say to me, oh, you run that horse
14
    rescue, I'm like, no, it's not a rescue, it's a continuation
15
   of a great life that these horses have had.
16
              And so I just feel that, you know, we could spend
17
    a little more time focusing on our fellow man and ways to
18
   make things better for him, rather than try to hurt an
19
    industry that actually is using their horses to do a lot of
20
    good.
21
         (Applause.)
22
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Thank you very much.
23
              Any questions?
24
              Thank you.
25
              Commissioner Solis?
```

```
I just want to add up what
 1
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS:
 2
   this gentleman just --
 3
              CHAIR WINNER: Did you have a question or were you
    just --
 4
 5
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS: No, I just want --
 6
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay. Thank you.
 7
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS: -- I want to thank you for
 8
    that.
 9
              And just for people that don't know how well we
    take care of our horses, I would like for them to go to the
10
11
   website of CalRacingCares.com and you will see how much we
    care for our horses and how we treat them.
12
13
              Thank you.
14
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Thank you.
15
              John Valenzuela? John, where are you?
   please introduce yourself and your organization.
16
17
              MR. VALENZUELA: Good morning, CHRB Chair and
18
    Commissioners. My name is John Valenzuela and I am the
19
    President of the Pari-Mutuel Employees Guild of California,
    Local 280. We are SEIU affiliates.
20
21
              All members of the SEIU Local 280 support the
22
    investigation relating to issues at Santa Anita Race Track.
2.3
    Like the many horse racing fans in California, we are eager
24
   to see horse racing resume safely. We support continuing
25
   efforts to improve the safety across the industry for the
```

1 horse. Again, we are eager to see horse racing resume 2 safely during this investigation. The racetrack closure has had a tragic economic 3 4 impact on all members, all our members and other union labor 5 employees, as well as all employees and owners, trainers, 6 vendors -- is this working -- okay, I'm sorry -- as well as 7 all employees and owners, trainers, vendors, and entities 8 involved in our industry. The reopening of the track will 9 benefit the industry and its fans. 10 We support a reopening of the track under the 11 close supervision of the California Horse Racing Board and 12 we would like to make a suggestion. Local 280 suggested 13 that all lost days be replaced at every opportunity going forward. 14 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIR WINNER: Thank you, John. 17 Any questions for John? 18 Thank you. 19 MR. VALENZUELA: Before I leave, I just wanted to 20 state --21 Yeah, please. CHAIR WINNER: MR. VALENZUELA: -- Local 280 is out with the CTT 22 23 and all the backside employees and they're out, down in 24 Clocker's Corner and outside, out in front. And we 25 basically want to state that I am -- these are the signs

that are being posted out there, "I am Thoroughbred Racing", "Horses are Our Lives".

California thoroughbred racing provides over 17,000 jobs, including mine. Thoroughbred racing means \$2.47 billion for California, state and local. We love thoroughbreds. California thoroughbred racing, \$2.47 billion, that's 17,000 jobs directly impacted in California.

Thank you.

CHAIR WINNER: Thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIR WINNER: So when you think -- when we -- as we go through our efforts here, and all of us are, as you know, are very, very concerned about the safety of -- the health and safety of the horses and their riders. Any of you have been to any of our meetings knows that that is our first priority at all times, the health and safety of the riders.

But also keep in mind, as John just said, there are thousands of people who depend on this industry. We're talking about people who are working on the backstretch, vendors working in the stands, people working, tellers working at the counters. We're talking about the valets who work with the jockeys and the van drivers who carry the horses, and the people who work on the farms. These people are dependent on this industry and they feed their families

based on this industry.

2.3

And they're not -- they're here today, some of them, with their signs but the point is that they can't speak as loud as some of the rest of can speak because they're not a part of the sort of lobbying process. But indeed, their lives depend on this industry and I think it's really important to keep all of that in mind.

Doug Burge.

Good morning, Doug.

MR. BURGE: Good morning. Doug Burge with the California Thoroughbred Breeders.

Besides representing the breeders in the state, we also represent all the horse farms. These farms employee thousands and thousands of people, as was just mentioned. They're with these horses from the day they're born to their early development and training to when they go to a sale or a track, or when they come home to be a brood mare or a stallion prospect or retrained as a riding horse, or simply pensioned and live the rest of their life in a grass paddock. But thousands and thousands of people care for an handle these horses and are employed and work these animals, not only at the track level but at the farm level.

These California breds, you know, they make up roughly 50 percent of our fields right now, north and south, so we're obviously very concerned with their welfare and we

```
encourage whatever safety measure we need to put into place
 2
   to take care of them.
 3
              Thank you.
 4
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Thank you, Doug.
 5
              Any questions for Doug?
 6
              Thank you, Doug.
 7
              Is this four?
 8
         (Colloquy)
 9
              CHAIR WINNER: Jamil Brown did not put an agenda
10
           Did you want to speak on this?
11
              MR. BROWN:
                          I did.
12
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay. Would you come on up please?
13
              MR. BROWN:
                          Good morning everybody. My name is
14
                  I'm just speaking on behalf for Doug O'Neill.
    Jamil Brown.
15
    I actually have a show, The Prime Time Angles Radio Show.
    It has a lot to do with horse racing and things like that.
16
17
    I want to just go ahead and say a few words and everything.
18
              Horse racing is a beautiful sport that has
19
   unforeseen situations, like anything in life.
                                                    The issue
20
    that arised this year has everybody concerned but one thing
21
    I know is the care and safety of the horses is top priority.
22
    Owners, trainers, workers go to great lengths to make sure
2.3
   that these horses are taken care of and love doing it.
24
    relationships they make with them are timeless.
25
              Horses love to run. If they didn't want to run,
```

they wouldn't. We've seen this plenty of times at the starting gate on a Friday.

Injuries in all sports happen, some minor, some major. When a major injury happens in horse racing the last thing any trainer or owner wants to do is euthanize a horse.

All measures to avoid that are taken before the decision can be made by the vet.

The safety of horses is high priority. There are employees who spend every moment with the horse when they aren't practicing or running a race. These great people make sure the horses are fed properly, groomed accordingly. They care for these animals as if they are their children, making sure they are comfortable at all times.

So the naysayers, the protestors, they've been educated time and time again by the horse racing industry about the safety measures and they still seem to misguide the public when these situations arise. I've seen this industry always ablige to their requests and make the necessary changes that were asked of it.

Racing insiders will continue to make sure the safety of these great athletes is top priority, ensuring that when horses are done racing they have great homes and jobs. Aftercare is very important to everybody in this industry. The trainers and owners want to reward horses for their service to the industry, even if they have to pay for

it themselves; that's all horses, from the low claimers to 2 The industry has gone through a lot the stakes' winners. but the love for the horses keeps everybody involved in this 3 business. 4 5 And that's all I got to say. Thank you very much 6 for your time. 7 CHAIR WINNER: Thank you very much. 8 questions? 9 (Applause.) 10 CHAIR WINNER: Let's hold -- it would be helpful 11 if we hold our applause. Appreciate it, all. 12 everybody feels strongly one way or another on this issue 13 but it would be best if we don't express that during the 14 course of these, of the testimony. 15 Pavla McGaard. 16 MS. MCGAARD: Good morning. When I came to this 17 meeting, I did not expect to speak so I don't have prepared 18 remarks. I am the breeder of Battle of Midway, therefore I 19 20 feel very strongly about what has been happening here, of 21 I was fortunate enough to -- well, I think it was a 22 privilege and a burden at the same time to have known him 2.3 probably for the longest time that anyone did. I first saw him when he was six weeks old. I last saw him a week before 24 25 he passed away.

Since then, I have been speaking to a number of people in the industry, owners, vets, trainers. And just like so many people here, I love the industry, I love the horse, and I think that there are many, many people, many stakeholders who depend on the industry to continue to thrive. And I believe that we need to go from that foundation.

2.3

That being said, in my conversations, when I have asked people a simple question, you know, if you're working for the day, what would you change in the industry? What would you change about what you do? Are there things that we could change? Are there things that we could do better?

I believe that if all of us are perhaps not looking for the magic bullet, the one magic bullet that will change, but if each one of us, anywhere from the breeders to the grooms to the trainers to the owners to the track owners, as well as the media and any spectators. If we can do just one percent better, whatever we already do, I believe that we could make a giant change overall.

And I believe that each of the stakeholders that I have been speaking to has some ideas about what that could be. There are -- I believe that it would be good to have focus groups that include all of those stakeholders, not just people who run the show, as it may be, or on the other hand the people who are on the outside, standing with the

signs.

There's a lot of work that can be done and I believe that there are some things that can be done better, anywhere from understanding better diagnostics, timing of diagnostics, how we repair injuries when they do happen, how information can be shared, medication rules, how much racing is good on any particular -- in any particular race meet, whether it is good for having schedules for horses to have time out in one way, shape or form, et cetera, et cetera? There are a number of things and, again, a very complicated industry of many, many stakeholders.

And as an owner, breeder, owner of a farm where we understand track issues are important, surface issues are important, I believe that there is a lot that we can do if we only try. And meetings like these are important, not in order to cause hysteria and panic but in order for us to put our heads together and see how we can do better by the horse and by the people who serve the horses.

CHAIR WINNER: Thank you very much. And again, our -- I want to express our sorrow for the loss of your wonderful horse.

VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: As someone who didn't prepare any comments --

CHAIR WINNER: You did great.

VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: -- you did a wonderful job.

```
And thank you for reminding me about being there when these
   horses are born, about having our whole lives with them,
 2
 3
    about what they mean to us. And it's your kind of passion
    and it's your kind of commitment that make this worthwhile.
 4
 5
    And I hope you will continue because we need good, strong
 6
   people like you with good, strong ideas to make us all
            And thank you for sharing.
 7
 8
                           Thank you for giving me the time.
              MS. MCGAARD:
 9
   And I am more than happy to participate in any focus group,
10
          Anything that I can do to improve, I'm all for it.
11
              CHAIR WINNER: Thank you very, very much.
12
              Denny Boultinghouse.
13
              MR. BOULTINGHOUSE: Good morning everybody at the
14
   meeting, including the Board.
              CHAIR WINNER: Introduce yourself, Denny.
15
16
                                  I'm Denny Boultinghouse and
              MR. BOULTINGHOUSE:
17
    I'm an owner and a breeder and a thoroughbred consultant and
18
    delighted to be here.
              I have -- (clears throat) excuse me -- two
19
20
    questions for the Board.
21
              One is I'm not sure if this is the case but I
22
    understand, and you would probably know this, that years ago
2.3
    Santa Anita entered into a joint venture with a major
24
    company, and I -- to my memory, it was Dupont. Again, I'm
25
   not sure whether this was the case. And they dug up the
```

track and they poured a prepared mixture underneath, which I 2 believe included gravel and whatever. And then they poured 3 it all around the track and then the dirt was put back on the track, and I just wonder if that's the case. And 4 5 obviously, my dedication and feeling is that if the track is 6 not safe, and I don't know that it is or it isn't, that 7 might be an area to be explored relative to the drainage. 8 The other question I have is -- oh, I got a blank 9 here. Oh, brother, I should have made some notes. I'll think of it in a minute. 10 But I just want to end this comment -- the one 11 12 question I have by saying that I hope everybody realizes how 13 fortunate we are to have Chuck Winner as the head of the 14 The time, the expertise, the love of our business 15 that he has displayed over the years is beyond anything that we could ask for. And we're just so blessed to have him in 16 17 a position of leadership and I'm confident we'll get through 18 this. 19 If I think of the question, I'd like to come back 20 later and ask it, so thank you very much for allowing me to 21 speak. 22 CHAIR WINNER: Thank you for those comments, 23 And believe me, no one person at all can do and work 24 through these issues on a daily basis, weekly basis, monthly

basis without my fellow Commissioners and a fabulous staff

25

that we have led by Rick and Jackie. It's incredible the 2 amount of work that they put in and what they do and their 3 dedication and their concern, again, about the very things 4 we've been talking about, the health and safety of the 5 horses and the riders, and the concern for all of the people who work to make this industry go. 6 All right, I have no other cards filled out for 7 8 people who want to speak during this public comment period, 9 so I'll ask one more time, is there anyone else who would 10 like to speak on item number four, public comment? 11 Okay, then we're going to move on to agenda item 12 number five, discussion and action by the Board on the request from the California Authority of Racing Fairs, CARF, 13 14 to designate the following 2019 allocated race dates as a 15 combined fair horse racing meeting, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19549.1: Alameda County Fair at 16 17 Pleasanton, June 13 through 2019 -- I'm sorry, June 13th, 18 2019 through July 7th, 2019; California Exposition and State 19 Fair, July 12th, 2019 through July 28th, 2019; Humboldt 20 County Fair at Ferndale, August 16th, 2019 through August 21 25th, 2019; and the Big Fresno Fair, 22 October 4th, 2019 through October 14th, 2019. 23 Go.

California Reporting, LLC (510) 313-0610

Executive Director of the California Authority of Racing

Larry Swarzlander, the

MR. SWARTZLANDER:

24

25

Fairs. Chairman, Vice Chair, Commissioners, this is an item that's been brought to the Board for the last four years.

2.3

In the industry at this point, several years ago, we all remember the Rainbow Pick 6, it was called the first jackpot wager. It was a wager that sort of emphasized what goes on in the lottery. It was a wager where one person had to win and the pools grew exponentially. It became very attractive and raised the handle on the tracks.

Then several years ago the CARF fairs considered it and they put in their Gold Strike Pick 6 and they have a pentafecta wager, the same thing, where there's one winner. The drawback on the fairs is that we're small meets, two, three and four weeks. And to build a large carryover under the current code, every fair would have to pay it out, so you don't build the big pools, you don't create the activity, the interest and the large handle. So the consolidated fair meet allows us to take these two pools or any -- it could be a Pick 5 pool, also, and carry them over from one fair to the other.

So, for example, last year the pentafecta wager carried all the way from Pleasanton until the end of Fresno. And in the last race in Fresno there was \$1.8 million bet on that wager. It's huge for the fairs, it's huge for handle, and it created a great increase in commissions and purse generation.

```
1
              I would simply request that the Board approve the
 2
   request.
 3
              CHAIR WINNER: And the request, Larry, is to
   combine the fairs for the purpose of wagering only; is that
 4
 5
 6
              MR. SWARTZLANDER:
                                 Yes.
 7
              CHAIR WINNER: -- the request?
 8
              MR. SWARTZLANDER:
                                 Yes.
 9
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay.
10
              Eric, did you want to speak on this?
11
              Thank you, Larry.
12
              Any -- before I do that, Eric, any other questions
13
    for Larry? Okay.
14
              Stay handy, Larry.
              MR. SWARTZLANDER: I'm here.
15
16
              CHAIR WINNER: Thank you.
17
              Eric?
              MR. SINDLER: Eric Sindler on behalf of Golden
18
19
   Gate Fields. Good morning.
20
              A few weeks ago we submitted written comments that
21
   outlines our view as to why CARF's request did not comply
22
   with California law. We have nothing substantively to add,
23
   other than what's in the letter, just happy to answer any
24
   questions you may have.
25
              CHAIR WINNER: Did you want to --
```

```
1
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                             Eric, I think these
 2
   are the same issues that you raised a year ago when this was
 3
    on the agenda; is that correct?
 4
              MR. SINDLER: Yes, it is correct.
 5
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: And legal staff at
 6
   that time responded to those, to the issues submitted by The
 7
    Stronach Group and the Board discussed them at that time and
   there was a distinction made between the broader authority
 8
 9
   questions and this specific request to combine the fairs for
10
   purposes of this -- solely for the purpose of passing this
11
    wager from one fair to the next, no other application of the
    rule in question.
12
13
                           Okay. I'm just happy any questions.
              MR. SINDLER:
14
                           Any questions? Yes, Commissioner
              CHAIR WINNER:
15
   Auerbach?
16
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Was this not -- not for Eric
17
   but for Larry.
18
              Sorry, Eric.
19
              Larry, was this for the combination of the purse
20
    too --
21
              MR. SWARTZLANDER:
                                 Yes.
22
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: -- or just for the wager?
23
                                 It's simply the -- what's
              MR. SWARTZLANDER:
24
   wagered on the bets, the pentafecta or the Pick 6, 50
25
   percent of it goes to, you know, purses and 50 percent goes
```

```
to commissions.
 2
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: No, no, no, that's not
 3
   my question.
 4
              My question is: Do you -- is this for -- you have
 5
   a combined purse account?
 6
              MR. SWARTZLANDER: Yes, we also have a combined
 7
   purse account.
 8
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: So it's actually two?
 9
              MR. SWARTZLANDER: Yes.
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: It's two item.
10
                                                    It's the
11
   purse account, so you offer the same purses at each --
12
              MR. SWARTZLANDER: Correct.
13
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: -- of the meets.
14
              MR. SWARTZLANDER:
                                Right.
15
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: So it's a twopronged
    approach; right?
16
17
              MR. SWARTZLANDER: Yeah. And our overpayment --
18
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: I just wanted to make sure.
19
   Okav.
          Thank you.
20
              CHAIR WINNER: Any other questions? All right.
21
              The language from last year --
22
         (Colloquy)
23
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: All right, this is the
24
    language for item five.
25
              I move to approve the request by CARF to combine
```

```
the currently allocated race dates of Alameda County Fair,
   California State Fair, Humboldt County Fair, and the Big
 2
   Fresno Fair as the combined fair pursuant to California
 3
   Business and Professions Code section 19549.1 for the
 4
 5
    express and sole purpose of allowing a combination of
 6
   wagering and for no other purpose. That's what it says.
 7
              CHAIR WINNER: This is what we did last time.
 8
    That doesn't mean that's what we have to do this time.
 9
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Well, it really probably
10
    should say something about the combination of the purse
11
    account, that these are comingled funds, this is all
12
    comingled. I guess they did it that way anyway last year;
13
   right?
14
              MR. SWARTZLANDER: Yes.
                                       It's been done that way
15
    for the last six or seven years.
16
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Okay. I don't know if you
17
    want to adjust the language or not.
18
              MR. SWARTZLANDER: We've never had that language
19
    in there, Chairman.
20
              CHAIR WINNER: Rob, do we need to adjust the
21
    language?
22
              MR. BRODNIK: Robert Brodnik, California Horse
2.3
   Racing Board.
24
              No, I believe the language you have is sufficient
25
```

1	VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: It will suffice? Okay.
2	MR. BRODNIK: to approve this request.
3	CHAIR WINNER: Was that a motion?
4	VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: It's a motion. I just moved
5	it.
6	CHAIR WINNER: Okay. Is there a second?
7	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Second.
8	CHAIR WINNER: Okay. Vice Chair Auerbach moves,
9	Commissioner Solis seconds. Any discussion?
10	Commissioner Auerbach, how do you vote?
11	VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Yes.
12	CHAIR WINNER: The Chair votes yes.
13	Commissioner Solis?
14	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
15	CHAIR WINNER: Commissioner Maas?
16	COMMISSIONER MAAS: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Yes.
18	CHAIR WINNER: Thank you.
19	MR. SWARTZLANDER: Thank you.
20	CHAIR WINNER: Motion carries. Thank you.
21	Okay, moving right along, item number six,
22	discussion and action by the Board on the Renewal
23	Application for License to Operate a Minisatellite Wagering
24	Facility from the Firehouse Bar and Restaurant in
25	Bakersfield, California for a period of up to five years.

1	George.
2	MR. HAINES: George Haines, Southern are we on?
3	Southern California Off-Track Wagering.
4	There are no outstanding items on this license
5	renewal for the Bakersfield satellite.
6	CHAIR WINNER: Correct, Jackie?
7	MS. WAGNER: That's correct.
8	CHAIR WINNER: Okay.
9	VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: What were you why was
10	this carried over; do you remember, George?
11	CHAIR WINNER: Because we didn't have the meeting.
12	VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: That's no excuse.
13	MR. HAINES: We did have some outstanding items
14	CHAIR WINNER: Yeah.
15	MR. HAINES: that have been corrected.
16	VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Okay. All right. Thank
17	you.
18	CHAIR WINNER: But they're in now?
19	MS. WAGNER: They're in now. Everything that was
20	missing the last time at last month's meeting has been
21	received.
22	CHAIR WINNER: Right. And then we carried it
23	since we canceled the Golden Gate meeting and moved it
24	MR. HAINES: Yes.
25	CHAIR WINNER: moved it here.

1	Is there a motion to approve?
2	COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: So moved.
3	CHAIR WINNER: It's been moved.
4	Seconded?
5	COMMISSIONER MAAS: Second.
6	CHAIR WINNER: Seconded.
7	Commissioner Auerbach?
8	VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Yes.
9	CHAIR WINNER: Chairman votes yes.
10	Commissioner Alfieri?
11	COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Yes.
12	CHAIR WINNER: Commissioner Maas?
13	COMMISSIONER MAAS: Yes.
14	CHAIR WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
15	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
16	CHAIR WINNER: The motion carries unanimously.
17	Thank you, George.
18	MR. HAINES: Thank you.
19	(Colloquy)
20	CHAIR WINNER: Item number seven, Discussion by
21	the Board on a report from Los Angeles Turf Club, LATC,
22	regarding actions taken to address equine fatalities at
23	Santa Anita Park.
24	Tim, Belinda, who's going to speak?
25	MR. RITVO: Chairman, Vice Chairman, Members,

Directors, good morning. Tim Ritvo, Chief Operating Officer of The Stronach Group. I've been in horse racing almost 40 years. Nearly everything I have in my life is because of the horse.

What has happened here at Santa Anita this winter has been very difficult for all of us, the horsemen, the fans, the public, each of us at Santa Anita, ane The Stronach Group. Over the next few minutes, I have prepared a brief summary of the events and the response of our company. At the end of the day, we know nothing will bring back the horses lost. But it is our responsibility to look at each event separately and use collective knowledge gained to move the sport forward together as a whole.

The horse must always come first. We have an overall population of about 4,000 thoroughbred horses in Southern California. Of those 4,000, it's been well documented that 22 have suffered fatal injuries at Santa Anita since our opening meet: 5 were on the turf; seven were on the main track during racing; nine occurred during morning training; and one horse suffered a cardiac event.

It is important to know that while the higher the numbers got the attention of the media and public grew. We started to pay attention at the beginning; it became our primary focus.

Throughout the winter our outside experts have

continued to tell us that the cause of these injuries is multifactorial. Horsemen are responsible for their horses and we are responsible for the racetracks. One of the largest departments in our annual budget is track maintenance with millions of dollars spent annually on the surface.

2.3

Santa Anita has experienced record rainfall in 2019. Nearly a foot of rain fell the first two months of the year, versus an inch over the same period last year. Despite the record rainfall and the near-record cold temperatures, the team was confident that the track was in good condition and safe.

After two training fatalities happened during the morning of February 23rd, we spoke with our team, and after discussions with others, felt the track was safe to run that afternoon. The races were held without incident.

The following day, 173 horses worked on the main track and the races ran that afternoon without incident as well.

That afternoon, we made a decision to utilize the generally-slower training days, Monday and Tuesday, to extensively evaluate the track's surface. After informing members of the CHRB, the horsemen and numerous trainers at that time contacted me and asked us to reconsider the timing and keep the track open for Monday, so they could work some

of their top horses. It was their firm belief that the track was in good condition and their riders backed up their opinion. My team was also confident and we siding on caution to examine all possible scenarios.

2.3

Given the two days of safe racing and training, we hoped that -- we honored their requests and adjusted the time table and moved back the closure to Tuesday and Wednesday.

That Monday, 125 horses worked over the main track, including Kentucky Derby favorites Game Winner, Implorable, Instagrand, as well as Big Cap favorite, McKinzie. Sadly and unfortunately, one horse suffered a catastrophic injury while working and was euthanized and it was a very long night for me.

We closed the track after the injury and remained closed Tuesday and Wednesday to conduct a comprehensive review of the track.

Dr. Mick Peterson from the University of Kentucky, who is the nation's foremost expert on track surfaces and who has been working with Santa Anita for many years, flew out to Arcadia to perform extensive onsite evaluation testing, including ground-penetrating radar. The top cushion of the track was peeled back to thorough examination of the base.

After two full days of testing, Dr. Peterson said

he was not seeing any problems. And after consulting with the industry stakeholders, he gave us the approval to reopen the main track on Thursday, February 28th and resume training.

2.3

Concurrently, Santa Anita formed a committee of various industry stakeholders to evaluate track condition and provide feedback from those riders over it and maintaining it. This was done in an abundance of both caution and collaboration and in conjunction with Dr.

Peterson's onsite testing. This committee, which includes Commissioner Alex Solis, Santa Anita officials, horsemen, and veterinarians meet weekly and audit the surfaces and how they're performing.

On March 5th, another horse suffered a catastrophic injury while training and was euthanized. We announced that we were closing both Santa Anita's main track and the training track indefinitely as we looked at all possibilities and reasons for the spike in these injuries. Both tracks were analyzed from the base to the cushion. And because none of the injuries occurred on the training track, that was reopened 48 hours later. The main track remained closed to continue to analyze. Among the days lost was the Santa Anita Handicap Day on March 9th, one of our biggest days of the year but, again, the horses had to come first.

track man with over 40 years of experience and the confidence of the Santa Anita horsemen, to evaluate the 2 3 maintenance procedures on the track. Dennis had retired from Santa Anita last fall. Before Dennis left, he 4 5 consulted with me and recommended Andy LaRocco as Track Superintendent. Andy has over 37 years of experience at 6 7 Santa Anita and worked alongside Dennis for many years. 8 Dennis's son, Rob Moore, who has 25 years of experience in 9 maintaining tracks in California, filled Andy's spot on the 10 maintenance crew. Andy lives just minutes away from the 11 track and had handled day-to-day track operations for virtually all of Santa Anita's past track superintendents 12 1.3 for most of the last three decades. 14 At the same time Dennis and Mick were examining 15 the track, we were continuing to look at other possible factors. As I said earlier, experts were telling us that 16 17 these were multifactorial injuries. We knew from the CHRB 18 postmortem exam last year, the program, that 87 percent of 19 the horses that had catastrophic muscular fatalities had 20 preexisting conditions. The vast majority of those 21 preexisting conditions were virtually clinically 22 undetectable. You literally had to see inside. 23 One of the measures we we're putting in place is a 24 commitment by The Stronach Group to invest in the purchase 25 of technology to do that. MRI machine, PET scan machine,

and CAT scans will give the trainers and the veterinarians the best information they need to back up their decisions to do right by the horse.

The majority of the breakdowns occurred in horses that were very lightly raced, three-year-olds and four-year-olds. These were not less inexpensive claiming horses.

It's extremely important to do everything we can do to help protect and detect the preexisting conditions before these become injuries.

March 8th, Santa Anita instituted several new enhanced protocols and procedures to help ensure the safety with the goals of establishing a culture focused on putting the health of the horse first. These protocols included: change the morning training schedule so the first 15 minutes after each break is reserved exclusively for horses working; training mostly applied to the work -- and trainers must apply to work in advance, giving the association an opportunity to evaluate any horse who might pose a higher-than-normal risk, for example, a horse that hasn't run in a while; additional veterinarian observations in the morning and at the entrance gaps; creation of a new position of a Director of Equine Welfare to lead a rapid response team for injuries.

We also took a hard look at our racing program.

There's been a lot of talk that we've been running a different program here this year and we won't debate that.

But we tell -- but what I will do here is tell the facts.

Yes, we've been running more races, 19 more than last year, but overall we actually ran less horses than we did last year. We've been trying to spread out the purse money so that 80 percent of it doesn't keep going to 20 percent of the same owners. We were trying to spread it around to grow the business.

2.3

An example is when we have a maiden race with 16 horses entered on a Saturday program. We might have split that race eight and eight so that those two races would outperform handle what the one race would have done itself. And it has also created an additional race for an owner to get in the Winner's Circle.

Continuing with our timeline, on March 11th and in consultation with the industry stakeholders and independent industry experts, both tracks were reopened with the workouts remaining on the main track on Wednesday the 13th.

The same day, executives of The Stronach Group reorganized, that the business as usual was just bad business.

On March 14th, we brought together industry stakeholders to discuss the need for sweeping changes to define a pathway to adopt strict International Federation Horse Racing Authority standards, IFHA, which includes the

complete day -- ban of race-day medication. It is well known that horses running under the IFHA Rules have catastrophic breakdown rates of five times less than those who don't. Those who don't includes every single racetrack in America.

At this meeting all of the stakeholders agreed that implementation of the IFHA Rules was a collective goal. The only question was: Where and how do we reach that goal?

The following day the question of when was answered. That morning, tragically, a filly broke down at the finish line during a work. It's never easy when a horse breaks down but the one -- this one was horrible. It created a lot of anguish and outcry that no statement of sympathy was going to quell and we all shared in that anguish. This time the time to discuss change had expired.

2.3

The Stronach Group decided to take the lead, knowing that many of the changes would be disruptive and the path to putting them into effect would not be smooth.

Later that afternoon, our Chairman and President,
Belinda Stronach, published an open letter on the Future of
Thoroughbred Racing Industry in California. In the letter
she said, "We will wait no longer for the industry to come
together as one institution of these changes, nor will we
wait for the legislation required to undertake this paradigm

shift. The time to discuss why these events must take place is over."

2.3

Two days later after much debate and negotiations and compromise the Thoroughbred Owners of California and The Stronach Group reached a historical agreement that will result in a systematic shift in how we do business and evaluation as to how we care for the health and safety of these animals.

The collective mandate enacted, the most stringent medication policies in North America, the highlights are: strict limitation on use of any pain or anti-inflammatory medication treatment; the reduction of race-day usage of lasix, known as lasix, to 50 percent of the current level; and a complete elimination of all race-day medications with the foal crop of 2018. It is our hope that the use of the riding crop will be subject to the strickest rules of any jurisdiction in the world.

With these changes in place and many more still to be discussed, Santa Anita and the TOC agreed to reopen Santa Anita on March 22nd. But due to the State of California's rules and in order to bring it before the CHRB, we had to give a ten-day public notice. This brings us today.

We respectfully ask to continue with live racing at Santa Anita tomorrow with the new rules and safety protocols agreed upon with the TOC on March 16th.

1 I'm willing to answer any more questions at this 2 time. 3 CHAIR WINNER: Thank you, Tim. 4 Let me just begin by saying that regardless of how 5 one feels about the items on our agenda and the issues that Tim raised, I can tell you, at least from my experience, 6 7 that Belinda Stronach, Tim and the whole Stronach Group team 8 has been working tirelessly in an effort to try to achieve 9 the objectives of what Belinda outlined in her letter. 10 there is absolutely no doubt in my mind, regardless of how one feels about the current situation, that there has been a 11 12 total and complete commitment on the part of Belinda and her 13 team, Belinda Stronach and her team, to do the right -- to 14 try to do the right thing. 15 Now again, I'm not commenting on any of the items 16 that we'll be voting on here today. I'm simply making that 17 point because I think they deserve thanks, at least from us, 18 for making the effort that they have been making. 19 Are there any other comments or questions? 20 VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: I did want to know one thing 21 that I think maybe -- I don't even know it was an oversight 22 or if you discussed it, about maybe having some kind of a 2.3 ban on running on a sealed track. 24 That's going to come up on the nine CHAIR WINNER: 25 item.

```
1
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH:
                                    Oh, is it?
              CHAIR WINNER: Yeah.
 2
 3
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: I'm sorry.
 4
              CHAIR WINNER: And what I'm going to do --
 5
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: I'm sorry.
 6
              CHAIR WINNER: -- you're absolutely right, what
 7
    I'm going to do is I'm going to move item number nine ahead
 8
   of item number eight so we can discuss the various issues
 9
    that are included in item number nine --
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: I didn't realize --
10
              CHAIR WINNER: -- which includes --
11
12
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: -- it was here.
13
              CHAIR WINNER: -- what you just raised.
14
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH:
                                    Sorry.
15
              CHAIR WINNER: That's okay.
16
              Okay, so any other questions for Tim Ritvo? Any
17
   comments?
18
              Okay, I'm going to move on then to item number
19
          Item number nine is a discussion -- and we'll come
20
   back to eight. Item number nine is -- Mike, please.
21
              MR. ROGERS: Can I just add something to item
22
    seven?
23
                             Can you identify yourself?
              CHAIR WINNER:
24
              MR. ROGERS: Yeah. Mike Rogers with The Stronach
25
   Group.
```

After Timmy's comments here, if the Board doesn't mind, I'd like to read into the record some of the comments that we got from various stakeholders in the country regarding these reforms we adopted. Is that okay for this Board for me to read them?

CHAIR WINNER: Sure.

MR. ROGERS: So I'll start reading a couple that we received.

The first one is, "We applaud The Stronach Group for this announcement today to effect sweeping changes at Santa Anita which will bring them on par with the strict standards seen in major international jurisdictions around the world." That was from Stuart Janney, the Chairman of the Jockey Club.

Next one, "The IFHA, composed of racing authorities and racing organizations from around all major jurisdictions across more than 50 countries, has at its correct mission to protect the safety of horses and riders and ensure the integrity of our sport. An unwavering antidoping policy in both training and during competition is of the utmost importance to the safety and the welfare of racehorses and the fairness of racing.

"I am in complete support of the actions and decisions made by The Stronach Group to bring its medication policies inline with international standards, notably, those

outlined in Article 6 of the IFHA International Agreement on 2 Breeding, Racing, and Wagering. Article 6 was drafted with 3 the input of racing regulators, veterinarians, chemists, and administrators from around the world and has served as the 4 5 basis for medication regulation, enforcement, and testing 6 for racing authorities as the British Horse Racing 7 Authority, the French Gallop, the Hong Kong Jockey Club, the 8 Irish Horseracing Regulatory, the Japan Racing Association, 9 and racing in Australia, among others." Louis Romanet, 10 Chairman of the International Federation of Horse Racing 11 Authorities. "It's about time. Congratulations to The Stronach 12 13 Group for doing something about the subject that many of us 14 have been talking about for a very long time. Hopefully all 15 other jurisdictions will come onboard to enable our industry to gain the respect it deserves. I believe the horse and 16 17 the sport, much loved by all connected, will again be 18 embraced and loved by many. We must have uniformity and integrity." Roger Attfield, Hall of Fame Trainer. 19 20 "It is about time racing in America catches up 21 with the rest of the world. I applaud The Stronach Group 22 for taking the lead." Jon Kelly, Director of Del Mar 23 Thoroughbred Club. 24 "Santa Anita has taken a courageous step. 25 that this is the impetus for others to follow." Ted Basset,

Keeneland Trustee.

1.3

2.3

"This could be a defining moment for racing, long overdue. Congratulations to Belinda Stronach. A much overdue fearless move." Barry Swartz, Owner/Breeder.

"I applaud The Stronach Group's proactive decision to ban race-day medication. It is a quantum leap in doing what is right for our horses and the future of our industry." Bill Casner, Owner/Breeder, WinStar.

"Belinda Stronach is to be commended for taking a definitive lead and role in protecting the most valuable asset, the thoroughbred racehorse, by boldly announcing the plan to eliminate drugs in racing. Bravo." Barry Irwin, Team Valor.

"It is 51 years since Dancer's Image was disqualified from his 1968 Kentucky Derby win because of his tactics of bute were found in the post-race analysis, which has literally let the genie out of the bottle. As someone who has covered international racing and breeding for 45 years, I totally support the efforts of The Stronach Group, WHOA (phonetic) representative Bart Tonkel (phonetic), and others to restore American racing to the international IFHA standards prohibiting race-day medication." Bill Oppenheim, Columnist.

"I am quite adamant about American racing no longer having no drugs, no bute practice in racing. We can

do better." Neil Drysdale, Hall of Fame Trainer. 2 "I applaud The Stronach Group and Santa Anita for 3 taking such a strong stance against racing medication, 4 including lasix. I hope more racetracks around the country 5 follow suit for the good of the game." Chris McCarron, Hall 6 of Fame Jockey. 7 Just a couple more. 8 "I applaud The Stronach Group for keeping -- for 9 taking the first critical steps for the preservation of our sport." Arthur Hancock, Claiborne Farms. 10 11 "We applaud horse racing integrity act and The 12 Stronach Group's plan 100 percent. It is the only way 13 forward. This is the first step we will need to change." Michael Dickerson, Hall of Fame Trainer. 14 15 And there are many more. CHAIR WINNER: Thank you, Mike. 16 17 Any questions for Mike? 18 Thank you. I would be remiss if I didn't also thank, among 19 20 others, the Thoroughbred Owners, TOC, and their leadership 21 for working through this very difficult issue with The 22 Stronach Group. And I know the hours that Greg and the 2.3 leadership of the Thoroughbred Owners put in to reaching an

agreement that was not easy for them, and so I want to also

24

25

thank them.

Again, without taking a particular position, it's just the point is I'm thanking them for the work that they've done to try to do what's in the best interest of That's true of all the stakeholders that we've been -- who have been involved in all of these discussions. certainly The Stronach Group and the TOC, who reached these agreements that we'll be voting on shortly, deserve a special thanks for their efforts. Okay, I'm going to move on then to item number nine, dicussion by the Board regarding safety-related issues and race meetings, including: weather-related track maintenance procedures; maximum number of races allowed; changes to medication regulations, which we've been dicussing; enhanced pre-race examinations; and staffing requirement for track veterinarians. This is for discussion only. There's no vote on this item. Tim, do you want to lead it off? MR. RITVO: Yes. Thank you again, Chairman. We've looked at all of these hard. We wanted to look at ourselves as much as we looked at everything else in the industry, to try to tie together. Everybody would love a silver bullet on exactly what happened and why it happened. And you know, when you look at the mixed mash of different horses in different areas, the turf course and the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

dirt course in the morning, we really struggled to try to find one commonality. And we'd love to sit here and say, well, this is what it was and it will never happen again.

But we've learned, definitely through the heavy rains that we've had, that sealing the track and even for a couple of days in a row where we've tightened it up, our experts have told us the track is safe at two-and-a-half inches and you should be fine to train over it. But you know, we know that a five-and-a-half cushion harrowed is probably better than a two-and-a-half inch.

So we're definitely considering plans in the future on these rain days of almost being like the northeast where they become snow days and we take a day off and we really side on caution.

We're also looking at opportunities where maybe where we seal the track for two days for rain and then all of a sudden we open it up and we have 253 horses work the next day, we may say, well, there's no breezing this day; we have to wait an extra day for breezing.

So for sure from a track maintenance perspective, those things are looked at.

But we continue to, you know, weigh in with our experts, you know, Andy, Dennis, Mick Peterson on composition mixture, testing and procedures, so those are important to us.

Again, we look at our racing program; right? Do we -- are we running too many races? Are we draining the horse population too much? Those things will be looked at very hard. But you know, once again, like I said a lot of times earlier in my presentation is that we look at, sometimes, a maiden special race on a Saturday with 16 horses in it and if we split it and have 8 and 8 and it's 11 races, we actually have the same amount of horses running and giving more opportunity.

But we'll rethink those and look at those. We take this all very serious to see how we can become better and do things better for the best interest of the horse, the horsemen and the game.

CHAIR WINNER: Tim, have you thought about -- one of the things that's come up a lot to us, including some of our meetings in Sacramento, have you thought not sealing the track during rain conditions and just, as you said, calling it, you know, a rain out and maybe making up those days at a later time?

MR. RITVO: Yeah. I think, you know, I'd err to the experts but, I mean, the idea of sealing it is so the water can run off and not run of it, yes. Now they're telling me, right, sealed is different than floated. Floated is just, it's got a little bit more cushion. Where they seal it, they really tighten it up. So we'd really

look at not training on sealed tracks anymore. 2 possibly running on floated tracks, maybe, depending on the 3 situation and how long that weather has persisted. 4 But you know, we've really got to continue to work 5 with the committee we've put together to be able to 6 diagnosis and look at conditions of talking to the people 7 that are on the horses, and the trainers, listening to them, 8 in cooperation with our entire track crew. 9 CHAIR WINNER: I have a number of cards here but 10 let me tell you that at the end of this discussion, I'm 11 going to refer this item with all of these issues to 12 Committee, which will be your Committee, Track Safety, the 13 Medication and Track Safety Committee, which is Vice Chair 14 Auerbach and Commissioner Solis. I'm going to refer these 15 items to that Committee. And then it will come up again, probably at the next meeting or the meeting after that, or 16 17 there may be some issues whether or not the Committee wishes 18 to advise and recommend certain changes. That will come out 19 of that Committee, out of the Medication and Track Safety 20 Committee. 21 VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: I have a question for Tim, if I might --22 23 CHAIR WINNER: Yeah. 24 VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: -- before you get started. 25 CHAIR WINNER: Please do.

Yeah.

And that's why

```
(indiscernible).
 2
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH:
                                    Okay.
 3
              CHAIR WINNER: Go ahead, please.
 4
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH:
                                    I wanted to know, you made
 5
   mention of hiring someone to have the position of equine
 6
   medical director or whatever.
 7
              MR. RITVO: Welfare and Safety, yeah.
 8
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Have you done anything in
 9
    that regard yet? Are you looking for somebody? Do you have
10
    an outline of what you're looking for, what you need, what
11
   you want?
12
                          We have a talented group of people
              MR. RITVO:
13
   that we're looking at now and we're actually pretty close to
14
   hiring one. We can't say at this time because these people
15
   are employed in other areas but we have been talking.
16
    it's a really serious position that Belinda feels really
17
    strongly about and it's a super-talented person in our --
18
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Are you looking for somebody
19
   with a veterinary background, whether it's a vet or not a
   vet but someone with that background?
20
21
                          These people all do have veterinarian
              MR. RITVO:
22
   backgrounds.
23
              MR. ROGERS:
                           Equine veterinarian.
24
              MR. RITVO:
                          Yes.
25
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH:
```

Yeah.

```
1
              MR. RITVO:
                          Yes.
 2
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Well, we don't need a dog
 3
   veterinarian.
 4
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Right.
 5
              MR. RITVO: Yeah.
              CHAIR WINNER: We need --
 6
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH:
                                    Thank you.
 8
              CHAIR WINNER: -- dog veterinarians, but maybe not
 9
    for this purpose.
10
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Well, have you seen the
11
    number of dogs out here at Clocker's? I mean --
12
              CHAIR WINNER: Any other questions?
13
              Rick, you wanted to make a comment?
14
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                             I just wanted to
15
   remind the Board that whereas today we have three items that
    either relate to the Board's authority under our current
16
17
    rules to suspend medications which are coming up, also
18
    authority to begin a rule changing process. But the Board
19
    also has authority relative to some of these issues to
20
    address them when an applicant comes to a Board to operate a
21
    race meet, things like track maintenance, things like number
22
    of races that will be run, types of races and so forth are
2.3
   all matters that are included in a race meet application.
24
              So as the Board continues its dialogue with the
25
```

stakeholders and each other, these winter meets, obviously,

```
will come back before the Board in the fall and some
 2
   decisions could have been made by that time for best
 3
   practices. And the Board has the ability then to condition
 4
    a race meet, approval of a race meet, on certain things.
 5
              Just wanted to make the simple point that not
 6
   everything involves starting a rulemaking process and having
 7
    to follow it through that rather lengthy bureaucratic
 8
   process.
 9
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay. That's understood, I think,
   by all of the associations involved and the fairs.
10
11
                     Thank you, guys.
              Okav.
12
              MR. RITVO:
                          Thank you.
13
              CHAIR WINNER: Matt Iuliano. Matt?
14
              MR. IULIANO: On behalf of the stewards, members -
15
16
              CHAIR WINNER: Could you introduce yourself, Matt,
17
   please?
18
              MR. IULIANO: Oh, I'm sorry. Matt Iuliano with
19
    the Jockey Club.
                    I'm the Executive Vice President and
20
   Executive Director. And on behalf of the stewards, members
21
    and management of the Jockey Club, I want to thank you for
    this opportunity to address the CHRB today at this critical
22
2.3
   time on these very critical matters.
24
              The list of reforms proposed by The Stronach Group
25
   receives the full support of the Jockey Club. The Jockey
```

Club has long advocated for dramatic reforms necessary in our sport for the health and safety of athletes and for the confidence of our audiences that the competitions are clean and the sport it fair. These tenants are at the core values of everything we do. The health and safety of the athlete is nonnegotiable and horses should only be allowed to race when free from the influence of medications or other substances.

We believe that the health and safety and medication rules are linked issues; improvement in one will lead to better understanding and improvement in the other.

We commend The Stronach Group and its leadership for their proposals which exactly reflect these principles and reaffirm the critical importance they play in guiding thoroughbred racing today but thoroughbred racing and breeding well into the future.

Take care of the horse first and the rest will follow suit. The Stronach Group's recommendations do exactly that. These proposals are an important starting point for a blueprint for national reform and we would strongly encourage all other states that have authorized pari-mutuel wagering to follow this bold leadership example.

These concepts are not foreign to the 125-year-old company that I represent and are precisely at the heart of our support of the Coalition for Horseracing Integrity and

their mission to reform on a national basis drug testing and 2 enforcement to a singular national standard that is on par 3 with international rules of racing, which are what you're 4 contemplating today. 5 This Board has a very rich history of regulatory 6 leadership and a very impressive list of nations first that 7 always places the horse first. This will be an important 8 vital step towards increasing transparency into the horse, 9 so this Board has better knowledge of the horses you're 10 charged with regulating. As leaders, you are again being called upon today 11 to thoughtfully and with deliberation reset the bar to new 12 13 standards of excellence in regulation as reflected in these 14 proposals before you today. We support you in this effort. 15 These aims are entirely consistent with the reforms we seek nationally and we welcome any opportunity to assist you. 16 17 Thank you. 18 Thank you very, very much. CHAIR WINNER: Comments? Ouestions? 19 20 Thank you very much, Matt. 21 Moving on, Larry Swartzlander. 22 You have to introduce yourself again, Larry. 23 MR. SWARTZLANDER: Larry Swartzlander, Executive Director of CARF. 24 25 I just wanted to make some general comments across

the board here, whether it's lasix, the riding crop,
racetrack maintenance. And what we've done on the fairs -I've been with CARF since 1999. The first thing that I
observed when I walked in was racetrack maintenance. I
remember seeing the fairs on tv where you saw dust fly,
water on the track. And being an old farm boy, it's like
this has got to change.

2.3

And there's three ingredients that has made, at least, the fairs successful in what we've done for racetrack safety and maintenance.

Number one, we have \$3 million worth of equipment.

We put in over three-quarters-of-a-million dollars every

year for racetrack maintenance.

We have a Racetrack Supervisor, Steve Wood, who's been with us for 19 years. Steve Wood is a consultant for New Zealand, Australia, Canada, all over the world, Abu Dhabi, Dubai. It's important to have a supervisor who's been there for years. It's important to put amendments on these tracks, sand, organics.

I remember years ago when they first introduced Dupeta (phonetic) up at Golden Gate and they had the individual up there talking about how Dupeta was going to change dirt tracks. And it was very -- you know, he talked about the sand and the sand had to come from Ohio, et cetera.

And I just asked a simple question, "If I put in a new racetrack, put in a French drain system, put in the finest organics and we wanted to run over that track, how would it compare to Dupeta?"

2.3

His comment was, "That's a good question?"

It takes the people. It takes interest. You have to be -- persevere, constantly do that. We can impose all the new regulations we want to.

Let's talk about fitness. I make sure that I am very close to Dr. Spore (phonetic), Dr. Frosty Franklin, Dr. Dooley (phonetic). These people are dedicated, they're sincere of the horse safety. Those screenings had to be done. The track has to be maintained. You can't cut costs. You can't do anything in those areas.

And I think I applaud everything that's being recognized here and move on. But again, it's the people, too, at the tracks that implement these programs and supervision and management, like myself. I can't say, well, that's not important. There are certain things that are important; race track maintenance, the procedures, the screening of these horses.

There's not too much more I can say but, you know, CARF, we haven't had a formal board meeting but we certainly applaud everything that's being recommended here. We would simply ask that, you know, the fairs, again, 40 percent of

our horses come from out of state. And these horses have been in training, using the whip and lasix, and just a 2 3 drastic change would be drastic for the fairs. A phased-in implementation of all these and 4 5 increased, I applaud, if you want more veterinarians on the 6 track, but I think that what we have there now is quite 7 The state vets and the fitness vets, they do 8 their jobs. 9 But I'll agree with Mr. Ritvo about, you know, 80 percent of these injuries, you know, you can't see, the vet 10 11 can't see. Do we need to have some additional technology 12 employed here so that we can see these injuries that are 13 being masked? That's all I have. 14 15 CHAIR WINNER: Thank you. Questions? 16 17 All right, I'm going to ask for a five-minute 18 recess and then we'll reconvene and go to item number eight. (Off the record at 11:25 a.m.) 19 20 (On the record at 11:40 a.m.) 21 CHAIR WINNER: Okay, we're going to get started 22 again. 23 The next item is item number eight. And let me 24 begin by saying, as we go through some of these items with 25 respect to the crop, the riding crop and medication, there's been a lot of discussion, both at the track and in the media and in conversation, about how house rules versus our rules, versus the CHRB Rules. What we're dealing with today are CHRB Rules; they're not house rules. Whatever The Stronach Group wants to do or any other association does with respect to house rules, that's for them to oversee and to enforce should they choose to do that. But our rules are the actual rules that will be followed by the CHRB, our stewards, our vets, et cetera, just to clarify.

2.3

Okay, item number eight, discussion and action by the Board regarding the proposed amendment to CHRB Rule 1688, Use of the Riding Crop, to limit the use of the riding crop to protect the safety of the horse and rider.

Rob, are you going to speak on this?

MR. BRODNIK: Yes, thank you, Chairman. Good morning. Robert Brodnik, Staff Counsel, California Horse Racing Board.

our current Rule 1688 which provides for the use of the riding crop. The proposed text would prohibit the use of the riding crop in racing or training except when necessary to control the horse for the safety of the horse or rider. The punishment for riding contrary to this rule would be the possible suspensions, fine, or forfeiture of the jockey's purse.

```
The jockey's share of the purse?
 1
              CHAIR WINNER:
              MR. BRODNIK:
 2
                            Correct.
 3
              CHAIR WINNER: Correct language.
 4
              Okay, I'm going to call -- I have a number of
 5
    cards on this, obviously, so I'm going to just take them in
 6
    order and then the Board will discuss it. The Board,
 7
    obviously, will have the opportunity to ask questions of
 8
    anyone who speaks on the issue. And then the Board will
 9
    discuss it and then we'll eventually take a vote.
              So let's start with Ruben Moreno.
10
11
              Ruben, where are you?
12
              MR. MORENO: Ladies and gentleman, my name is
13
   Ruben Moreno. I'd like to address the Chairman here and all
14
    the good Commissioners and all of you good folks that are
15
           I want to take you back in time. I've got three
   minutes to do this. We're going to do it real fast.
16
17
              I'm 70 years old. In 1958, I was ten years old,
18
    there was a futurity that was going to be run at Los
19
   Alamitos racetrack and we had a participant in it.
20
    really a big, pretty Quarter horse mare. Actually, she was
21
    a Quarter horse filly, she was a two-year-old.
                                                     She a little
22
   bit on the lazy side and the way that we got her to do
2.3
   things was just, we tried to entice her the best way we
24
    could to get down that racetrack as fast as she could.
25
              Well, consequently, as we trained this filly, now
```

I'm telling you, I'm ten years old but my father was the actual trainer but I thought I was the trainer. And we visited a lot and had a lot of discussions on the mare, on the filly. And he would tell me, he says, "You know, she'll run when that rider hand rides her but she'll give a little bit extra when he goes ahead and catches her with that stick." So I'm sitting there and I'm saying, okay, that's part of the deal.

Well, they get to the finish line, they're going 350 yards, there's \$15,000 up, she prevails. She wins by a scant nose. Well, I'm standing there with my father right at the finish line, he's hollering at this good rider, he says, "Get into her lefthanded just a little bit. Just get into her a little bit lefthanded." The boy got into her a little bit lefthanded, she won the race. She became a wonderful mare. I mean, from there, she went straight up. Our whole being, our whole livelihood changed that day with just that tap of the stick on that good filly. She picked up black type. She was just a wonderful brood mare. We just — our whole life changed with that one tap of the stick.

So I just want you folks to know that these horses, they're wonderful animals. They mean the world to all of us. I've heard everybody, you know, tell everybody how much they mean to us. But I can only tell you that I'm

70 years old and they have taken care of me all my life. 2 And I just want you to know that we have the greatest 3 appreciation for horses and we've done it all our lives. 4 And I just want you to know that we have the greatest 5 appreciation for horses and we've done it all our lives. 6 And I just want you to know from the bottom of our 7 heart that we need to -- when we start changing these rules, 8 these good Commissioner that we've got here, they need to do 9 me a big favor and they need to do the industry a big favor 10 and they need to get some of these good riders that are out 11 there, these good trainers that are out there, consult with them, visit with them, talk to them. Don't just take 12 13 matters into your own hands and change rules. Visit with 14 these gentlemen. They're making a livelihood with these 15 horses. I've made a livelihood with these horses all my 16 life. 17 So please, just keep that in mind when we're 18 changing these rules and that's pretty much what I -- I 19 never dreamt that I would come up here and speak to you 20 folks but here I am. So know that the industry is taking 21 care of me and now I have to take care of the industry. 22 So everybody, please keep that in mind today when we're visiting with these good Commissioners, okay? 2.3 24 So that's all I have to really say today, so best 25 of luck to everybody.

```
1
              CHAIR WINNER: Any questions for Ruben?
 2
              Thank you, Ruben.
 3
              Shane Gusman.
              MR. GUSMAN: Mr. Chairman, Shane Gusman on behalf
 4
 5
   of the Jockeys' Guild. I'm going to defer my going first
 6
   with Tom Kennedy of the Jockeys' Guild. He's like to
 7
   present first.
 8
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay.
 9
              Tom, please. Do you want to come and sit at the
   table?
10
11
              MR. GUSMAN: Yeah. Can we sit there?
12
              CHAIR WINNER: Yeah. Why don't you do that?
13
              MR. KENNEDY: Good morning --
14
              CHAIR WINNER: Good morning. Good morning, Tom.
              MR. KENNEDY: -- Mr. Chairman and the Board
15
   Members, my name is Tom Kennedy. I'm the General Counsel of
16
17
   the Jockeys' Guild. With me today is Shane Gusman, our
18
    California lobbyist, and Terry Meyocks, President and CEO of
19
   the Guild. We obviously are here before you today with
20
    regard to the proposed new rule on the use of the riding
21
   crop.
22
              First, let me say that the Jockeys' Guild Board of
2.3
   Directors and all of its members fully understand the
24
   gravity of the situation at Santa Anita and the need for
25
   many of the reforms that have been discussed today.
```

Since its inception in 1940 the Jockeys' Guild has been a tireless advocate for enhanced safety issues for both the human and equine athletes. We were actively involved in the refinements and adoption of the current Riding Crop Rule, 1688, to reduce the impact of the use of the crop on the horse.

It is important that the CHRB understand that jockey lives, both literally and figuratively, are dependent on the health and welfare of the equine athletes.

Today's cushioned riding crop is considered an acceptable aid to hosemandship, to be used as a mechanism for communication, encouragement to the horse, and to ensure horse and rider safety without causing harm. The riders believe that today's crop is safer for the horse and does not compromise the horse's welfare.

When the current riding crop was introduced it was strongly encouraged that the horses be subject to inspection by a racing or official veterinarian looking for cuts, welts or bruises on the skin and an adverse finding reported to the stewards. And for the last ten years since the current type of crop have been introduced the welts and cuts to the horses that did occur with the prior crops have been completely eliminated.

Unfortunately, at the time and since the current riding crops were instituted, we as an industry did not put

forth our best efforts in educating the general public about the modifications and the enhancements to the crop with the energy-shock-absorbing padding. The Jockeys' Guild is willing to continue the work with the CHRB and the industry on public perception and to educate the public on the riding crop safety for the horses.

2.3

The current CHRB rule has some of the most stringent rules in the United States today. As it stands now, you are a jurisdiction have promulgated what is the most international-like rule with respect to the use of the crop in the United States.

And what's interesting is that as we sit here today the one thing we know is that the riding crop or any use of the riding crop was not implicated in any of the circumstances under which horses, unfortunately, died at Santa Anita, so that we may be looking, as we sit here with this package of improvements, that many of them are implicated, we believe, or could have been implicated in connection with these horses but the riding crop was not, so that we are sweeping what we think is an issue that shouldn't be linked with the medication and other matters that may have caused problems together with the riding crop and we're concerned about that.

And the proposed change to Rule 1688 is a very drastic change in the manner in which racing has been

conducted, not only here in the U.S. for decades but throughout the world. And that's especially significant since once again the Breeders' Cup will take place at Santa Anita in 2019 involving leading international horsemen and jockeys.

2.3

And we are particularly concerned that the extremely significant change that is being suggested in connection with the crop has almost no factual support in the materials that were provided to the CHRB Board Member packet. If you look, we don't think that an adequate job was done to review other findings that are important to understand in connection with any decisions on the crop.

For instance, in 2011 the British Horse Racing Authority had a responsible regulation, a review of the use of the whip in horse racing. There was a substantial amount of research went into this document and the brief conclusion, one of the parts summarizing the study is that the guide describes the acceptable use of the whip to be for safety, correction and encouragement. And that is the position of the Guild, that correction and encouragement are equally important aspects of why the crop is an important element of the racing equipment. And none of that is included in the materials before you.

There was a lot of talk earlier today about the
IFHA and its rules with respect to medication and those are

beyond our particular spot as representatives of the jockeys. But they also have promulgated in 2017. he IFHA promulgated what it called Principles of Good Practice for the Use of the Whip in Horseracing. And it's preamble reads, quote, "Used appropriately, the jockey's whip is considered an appropriate aid to horsemanship and therefore plays a role to in ensuring horse and rider safety as a communication, corrective and encouragement aid."

So there is a lot of evidence out there suggesting that limiting the crop to purely safety purposes is not consistent with the rest of the world, not required as a result of anything that's happened in California, and in our view, primarily an issue of public perception.

We have a concern, also, that as I believe we've communicated to the Chairman, several of our leading California riders, including Mike Smith, Flavien Pratt, Joel Rosario and Joe Talamo are not able to participate in this hearing because they are riding at internationally-significant races in Dubai.

We have not had an opportunity, given the speed with which this has been brought on, to develop scientific evidence that we wish to put before you in connection with our position that the crop must be available to encourage and communicate with a horse during a race.

And we ask you not to even start the process of

imposing a crop rule more restrictive of the current rule without giving us a chance to present evidence to you in the following areas.

2.3

First, the lack of any harm to the horse based upon the current softer crops and crops that are being developed that would be even less material with respect to the horse.

Second is the need to utilize the current softer crop to obtain a horse's maximum placing in a race. The gentleman who spoke earlier was quite eloquent describing that this is a tool that is important to ensure that a horse's maximum placing occurs and that is something of very much importance to the racing community, all of it including, of course, to the betters that ultimately support us.

Third would be the increased danger to the horse and rider if crops are unreasonably restricted.

And the fourth is the interest of the betting public in ensuring maximum and focused effort by each horse in each race.

And the fifth is the effective means of educating the public about these reasons for allowing the current softer crops and even more horse-friendly crops that are being developed to be part of racing.

We want to work with the industry to confront the

misperception of some members of the general public that the proper use of crops harms horses. And we encourage the CHRB to require the inspection of every horse after every race in every race in California. That will provide a factual basis for determining whether there is any need to adopt the changes that are being proposed for Rule 1688.

We believe the CHRB needs to consider the interest of all segments of the industry before starting down the road to this major change in our rules. And any regulation that is adopted must be, of course, in the interest of the horse, enhance the perception of our industry, and protect the interest of the owners, betting public, horsemen, jockeys and tracks.

We need careful and thoughtful consideration for the equine athlete that addresses how the use of the crop is perceived by the general public. But in our view, this proposed regulation does not reflect the level of research or factual investigation that would need to be a predicate before the process could be begun. And we note that in several of the instances, especially on item nine, the determination of the Board was that the issue would be referred to a Committee. And we believe that is a sounder, more appropriate, resolution of this issue.

We're not suggesting that it isn't always appropriate to look at the way the crop is being used, both

for perception reasons, and because we do have to make sure they're used properly. But to suddenly begin a process that would severely limit the ability of a rider to use a crop, we think, is unwarranted on the basis of the evidence now before the Commission.

CHAIR WINNER: Thank you. Let me just respond to a couple of things that you said.

First of all, you said that you know for sure that the crop had nothing to do with any of the fatalities at Santa Anita. Well, we don't know that for sure. If you watch some of the tapes, the horses could have been — the horses that went down, in some cases the crop was, in the morning, the crop was being, in my view, overused and could have contributed to. I'm not saying it did, I'm saying it could have. You took the position that none of them could have been and I believe that's not an accurate statement.

The second point I want to make is that with respect to the crop itself, just to be clear, at a prior meeting, at a prior meeting of this Board, when the issue of 360 GT, which is the new whip that Mr. Dominguez -- the new crop that Mr. Dominguez has put forward, your Guild Chairman, Guild leader in California, who I think has done a great job and I have the greatest admiration for, Darrel Haire, said, but this is regarding the current crop, he said, "But if you whip the horse with the side of the riding

crop, it could hurt, or even cut, the horse." He was talking about the current cushioned crop. So he said that if it's not used appropriately by the rider, and you know that in a race a rider could use it improperly, so he himself said that that could happen.

Furthermore, I want to make the point that whatever we do here today, if we do pass a motion it goes out, under state law, it goes out for 45-day public hearing. We are restricted by state law to do certain things. And one of the things that we must do is send out the motion, if passed today, for a 45-day public comment, whatever motion it is that's passed today, and then it goes through a process with the administrative -- Office of Administrative Law and so forth.

So all the things that you say were not considered that you would like considered, those will all be -- you can submit all of those things and you can come back and testify and submit any information you want, scientific information or otherwise, during that public comment period. The Board will then have to vote again to accept whatever has been recommended, to accept the rule as passed in the first place, or amend the rule that was passed at that time.

So it isn't as though whatever we do, if we do anything, is going to take effect immediately. Under state law, it can't, that is that's statutory, under state law it

can't. It goes through a process. That process will allow you and everyone else in this room and everyone else in the public to submit whatever points they want to make, pro, con or otherwise with respect to this rule during that period of time.

And the only other thing I want to say, and you mentioned it yourself, is that public perception is part of what we're trying to deal with. It's very important to the future of this industry, so we're talking about the safety of the horse, the safety of the jockey, but among other things, we're talking about public perception. You're absolutely right, it's important, it's critical. It's critical to the future of this industry in California and that's what we have to be concerned about.

Other comments?

COMMISSIONER SOLIS: I do. I feel for all -- for my peers but we're at a very critical time in horse racing here in California and it breaks my heart.

One thing, if we don't have racing, what are we going to do with the whips, if we don't have racing in California? And we're talking about the future of California racing, so I feel like we have to compromise one way or another.

MR. MEYOCKS: Mr. Chairman, Terry Meyocks. I'm the President of the Jockeys' Guild --

CHAIR WINNER: Yeah, Terry, go ahead.

MR. MEYOCKS: -- and we agree, and we're talking about perception. We want to work with you all on the perception, the optics, but also we want to, you know, consider, you know, and we talked about maybe going to the Committee or subcommittee of you all, and bring in the horsemen, bring in the betting public and have that discussion. We want to make sure the perception is taken care of. We also want to be fair to the owners, to the betting public, to the tracks, to the horsemen, and to the riders and we want to come to like a fair conclusion.

CHAIR WINNER: Yeah. Again, there's a 45-day period and we'll go -- that will happen. We can -- at the end of the 45 days we could again refer it to Committee if we chose to do it. It's a 45-day period. We may take action today or we may not but the -- first of all, the reason we referred the other items to Committee was because they weren't agendaed for a vote today; this is. The other items were not agendaed for a vote. You can only vote for those things that are on the agenda to be voted -- for the Board to take action on.

Commissioner Auerbach?

VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Well, what I have a problem with, and I'll be direct with you, is your approach. Your approach is to come in here and tell us how to conduct our

business. And I find that a little offensive and I'll tell you why.

If you think that we haven't had many, many discussions and have done all of our due diligence, then you are quite mistaken. We have done our due diligence.

And, oh, by the way, I was standing by the side of the track when one of those unfortunate things happened and watching someone who was perhaps not as skilled as they should be, and I'm going to use terminology and I don't know if it's appropriate or not, but going after a horse with a whip when it was obvious that the horse in question had nothing left to give, is something that I never want to see again because it was inappropriate. And exuberance of youth, for whatever reason, we don't want to see that. And I know you don't either, I get that.

But to suggest that we address this nation which loves dogs and cats and horses without addressing their view on what goes on would be to not do what we are appointed Commissioners to do. We are appointed to take care of racing in California. And if we ignore the view of the people of this state, we will all pay a very big price. We won't be arguing about whips. And I know what Alex was trying to say: we will not have the ability to have whips because there will be no need for them because we will have destroyed the industry by being viewed as not taking care of

our horses. 2 This is all about the horse. And when we take 3 care of the horse, we take care of the jockey. And when we 4 take care of the jockey, we take care of the horse, the 5 jockey, and the industry. 6 So please don't come before us and even hint that 7 we are not being thoughtful, that we are not being thorough, 8 that we are not being careful; we are doing all of those 9 things. And whether we pass this, don't pass this, 10 whatever, this is not going away. And we need to fix our 11 industry. And remember, what happens here will eventually 12 happen elsewhere. 13 So we are the forefront of trying to save racing. 14 And we would appreciate it if all of the members of the 15 community joined us in our efforts to make racing healthy and modern and survivable in the current era. That's all 16 17 we're seeing. 18 MR. MEYOCKS: Can I mention, that's the reason 19 we're here for --20 VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Please. 21 MR. MEYOCKS: -- to work with you all to --22 VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Well, good because, Terry, I 23 just didn't --24 MR. MEYOCKS: -- for the better of our industry. 25 VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: -- I just didn't get that

from that first statement. I got, well, let's obtiscate (phonetic), let's put it off. No, we're not going to do that. Whatever we do we're not going to put it off. We're going to address head on and tell the People of the State of California and the rest of this country that we care about our horses. And we are not going to allow any perception that says that we don't treat them in the very most careful and most loving fashion and that's the message.

MR. MEYOCKS: On thing --

2.3

CHAIR WINNER: Can I just add to that, that we have an obligation, also, to the elected officials of this state. We are appointees. We represent the state. We represent, among other things, the elected officials, the officials that the voters voted for. And I can assure you that there is a very strong feeling about this issue, more than any other, among elected officials.

MR. MEYOCKS: But, Mr. Chairman, as many calls as we've had the last two weeks with us and yourself and The Stronach Group, and the members of the jockey colony here have talked to The Stronach Group, and we were on the phone with, whether it be Tim Ritvo or Mike Rogers, for the last two weeks. We're trying to work out something in the best interest of racing, you know --

VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Then join us.

MR. MEYOCKS: -- and worldwide.

```
1
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             We know you are.
 2
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH:
                                    Then help us.
 3
              CHAIR WINNER: We know you are.
                                 And we are but we'd like to see
 4
              MR. MEYOCKS:
                           No.
 5
   what comes out of it a universal -- we can take this riding
 6
   crop policy throughout the world.
              CHAIR WINNER: It can't be.
                                           Terry, let's be
 8
   honest, it can't be universal.
 9
              MR. MEYOCKS: I would agree with you --
10
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay. It can't be universal.
11
                           -- but why can't we try to be it?
              MR. MEYOCKS:
12
              CHAIR WINNER: Right.
13
              MR. MEYOCKS: We've got people in the room that
14
    could help, Matt Uiliano with the Jockey Club and IFHA
15
   Guidelines we've developed.
16
              CHAIR WINNER: Yeah.
17
              MR. MEYOCKS: This world is so smaller today, we
18
    could do something to make a difference.
19
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Well, then, I expect to see
20
    you at my next Committee meeting with all this proof.
21
              MR. MEYOCKS: I'll be more than happy to.
22
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH:
                                    Good.
23
              CHAIR WINNER: All right, any other -- yes?
24
              COMMISSIONER MAAS: I'd like to ask a question, if
25
    I might?
             Because I, like many of my other Commissioners,
```

we've been bombarded with members of the public, political 2 officials, others, people who really don't care about 3 racing. And to put this perception issue into focus, I'd 4 5 like to suppose to you something that's been posed to me 6 which is if your child was hit by a riding crop in school 7 and you went in to see the principal and you asked the 8 principal what happened and he said, well, it was a rounded 9 crop and it was soft and there were no welts and there was 10 no bruises but we needed it for control or discipline, who 11 would you feel? Would you want to establish a committee of 12 teachers or principals and take some time? 13 I mean, explain how I respond to someone who 14 approaches me with that question? MR. KENNEDY: I don't think it' a well-drawn 15 parallel between children in school and horses. And the 16 17 reality is that the crop has been --18 COMMISSIONER MAAS: I believe the public does 19 though. 20 I'm sorry? Pardon? MR. KENNEDY: 21 I believe the public does. COMMISSIONER MAAS: Well, obviously, this is an issue 22 MR. KENNEDY: 23 that has to be addressed. And the Guild position is that, 24 used properly, the crops should continue to be part of the 25 game and that it should be all of our mission to explain to

the public why that is not an inappropriate usage of the crop.

2.3

CHAIR WINNER: Well, even if you could do that, you don't have the resources to do it. Explaining to the public in a state like California costs more money than this industry is willing to spend, for sure, to try to persuade the public on an issue like this. It's not possible. You can't do it one on one. You can't do it through people who come to the races. You can't do it with people who watch TVG. They're not the people who count. It's not the people inside the cocoon, it's the people outside of the cocoon that count here in terms of the future of racing in this state.

And it's just, everybody would love to do that. I mean, we talked about this so long ago where we said at every racetrack, let's have the new cushion crop up on the wall and let people use it and see how it feels and so forth. The fact of the matter is when people do use it and see how it feels they don't feel the same way you do about it and that's a fact. It's a hell of a lot better than the one -- than the prior crop but it's not cotton. And even if it was, when the rider is swinging away at the horse, it doesn't even matter if it's cotton, it just looks bad.

So, all right, any other -- all right, I'm going to call on some other people.

You can remain available and we'll -- you're 1 2 certainly welcome to come back and speak again, if you'd 3 like. 4 Terry, go ahead. 5 MR. MEYOCKS: Thank you very much. I appreciate 6 it. 7 And by the way, I just want to say CHAIR WINNER: that I understand that, you know, Mike Smith and Joel and 8 9 Flavien and the riders who were in Dubai and couldn't be 10 here, I understand that, I appreciate that. I think we know 11 what they're view is but they're certainly welcome to weigh 12 in during the 45-day period and when this comes back before 13 the Board, if it does pass out of the Board today, and we're 14 always happy to hear from them. I think they know that. 15 We've made a real effort to always involve the jockeys in the decisions that we've made, including inviting 16 17 them to the Stewards Committee meetings and having them 18 constantly have a role and a voice in what we do. 19 disagree on this, or maybe on other things, but we certainly 20 appreciate their voice and appreciate what they do and 21 appreciate the hazards that they go through to make this 22 race -- this industry work. 23 MR. MEYOCKS: We would appreciate that, as well 24 as, you know, from the thoroughbred riders but, also, we

can't forget the Quarter horse jockeys as well.

25

```
1
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Yeah.
 2
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH:
                                    That's right.
 3
              CHAIR WINNER:
                           Yeah, we can't.
              Shane Gusman?
 4
 5
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH:
                                    There you go.
 6
              CHAIR WINNER: Oh, Shane, sorry.
 7
              MR. GUSMAN: I don't have any more to add.
 8
    (Indiscernible) pressure that you all are under the whole
 9
    industry is under and want to help, we really do. And that
10
   was -- whether it was expressed appropriately or not, we are
11
   here to participate in the process.
                                         We want to come up with
    the best rule possible. We offer our services with Mr.
12
1.3
   Baedeker or whoever wants to reach out. We will work with
14
   you with language as this process moves along.
15
              CHAIR WINNER: Thank you. And I know you always
          Thank you very much.
16
   have.
17
              Kathy Guillermo?
18
             MS. GUILLERMO: Thank you. Is that on?
                                                       Thank you
19
   very much. My name is Kathy Guillermo. I'm with PETA,
20
    People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals.
21
              There's been a certain amount of talk here today
22
    about us and them, about the perception of racing, about
   people in racing and people outside of racing.
23
                                                     I grew up
24
    going to racetracks. But more importantly, in the last ten
25
   years, I've been educated by people in your industry. I
```

thank you all here today for your leadership in discussing these issues.

Every video investigation we have ever done has been prompted by credible information from whistleblowers. I take five and six phone calls a day from people on the tracks and from people who have left racing as trainers or owners disenchanted with what they've found.

People inside racing might think that not striking a horse is a radical move but the public, as you've just been discussing, sees it as visible and obvious cruelty.

The public may not follow the ins and outs of lasix use but they see horses dying and they see horses being hit.

The 2018 McKinsey Report, which analyzed thoroughbred racing, found that 50 percent of casual fans would stop betting if they knew horses were mistreated and new fans specifically objected to the use of the whip. Only 22 percent of the public has a positive impression of racing. The racing industry is constantly talking about improving its image to the public. Well, you can't do that if you keep whipping horses who supposedly love to run.

There's already been a compromise in allowing the jockeys to carry the whip and to use it as a safety device and a correctional device. Sanctioning obvious physical abuse should not be a compromise.

PETA has 700,000 supporters in California. We've

```
never paid anybody to come to a protest.
                                              In fact, we've
 2
    asked people not to protest when we've seen the racing
 3
    industry moving in the right direction.
              How many people in California would defend
 4
 5
   whipping? Not many.
 6
              Racing will adapt to a whipping ban; the betters
 7
   will factor it in, the jockeys will adjust. And the irony
 8
   here for me is that I'm giving the industry advice that may
 9
   help it to survive in a world that has just become
10
    intolerant of abuse. And I take calls every single day, as
11
    I said, from your industry from people who hate the drugs,
    they hate the exploitation, and they hate the whip.
12
13
              Whipping is the most visible sign of that abuse
14
    that I hear about from people every day. It's the most
15
    obvious proof that horses aren't willing participants.
16
              So again, I thank you for allowing me this moment
17
    to speak, for talking about these very important measures,
18
    and I thank you The Stronach Group for proposing them.
19
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Thank vou.
20
              Questions? Comments?
21
              Alex, did you?
22
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yeah, I have a question.
23
              If these rules passes will PETA support our
24
    industry on their websites?
25
              MS. GUILLERMO: Well, I don't think PETA will
```

every support any industry that uses animals to make money. 2 But I will tell you something. I've been working 3 for ten years and I haven't said, end racing. What I have 4 5 said is get rid of the drugs, get rid of the whipping, get 6 rid of the abuse. 7 We never wanted to have a campaign against horse 8 racing. I started working for PETA because I don't think 9 animals should be experimented on. We got pulled into this 10 industry because we couldn't ignore the phone calls from the 11 public and the phone calls from the people inside racing. 12 So you'll have -- your enemies will be fewer if 13 you adopt these rules. 14 CHAIR WINNER: Any other questions or comments? 15 Thank you. MS. GUILLERMO: Thank you very much. 16 17 CHAIR WINNER: Belinda Stronach. 18 MS. STRONACH: Good morning everyone, Mr. 19 Chairman, the Board, and to the team members or staff that 20 have proposed this progressive reform to the crop rule. 21 It's a great honor to be here on behalf of my family as the 22 owner of this great racing company, this great racing 23 platform, but it's also a great responsibility to stand 24 here. 25 In some ways, I am -- you know many of my other

family members much better and I've always been in the background when it comes to the horse racing business. When I grew up as a kid, I went with my father to the track and he did his thing, I did my thing with a couple of the other daughters from some of the trainers. And we roamed around the track and ate lousy hot dogs and I really got turned off by witnessing the breakdowns. I didn't want to go anymore.

2.3

And the rest of my family continued on at the dinner table, looking at the pedigree books, talking about the horses, and eventually I went in another direction; I went the automotive route, a different kind of horsepower. And then eventually when things progressed and the family got out of automotive, I stepped out of that business, as well, and got involved in racing.

And I first got involved more so alongside the team and the former CEO, Alon Ossip, and I said, look, in order to get new people interested in our sport, this platform has to modernize. We have to engage people in a different way and that means we have to look at our venues differently, we have to curate them in the right way that people all right interested in coming, we have to look after the guest experience, we have to invest in modern technology so we can communicate with the people in the way that they wish to be communicated, and we have to offer a compelling and competitive experience. And we are very privileged and

fortunate to have great racing content and wagering licenses to accompany that experience.

2.3

So that has been my focus in the past couple of years working together with a very committed and excellent team at The Stronach Group. As these unfortunately and heartbreaking events evolved in the recent weeks, obviously, my focus turned and it became solely here at Santa Anita and I've been working here with the team in the recent couple of weeks.

After the first series of breakdowns and during the initial closure we started meeting with stakeholder groups because, as all of you know, our sport revolves around an ecosystem, and it's a stakeholders' sport. So we started discussing the issues with all of the stakeholders to look at every single aspect, and that is with the owners, with the trainers, with the veterinarians, with the jockeys, and those that are involved in our sport and care deeply about it.

As these discussions continued and there was another, a very unfortunate, and even more heartbreaking moment when Princess Lili B was training. Fox television was here filming that incident. Bob Baffert was on the camera being interviewed about the track being open and ready and in great condition. And then we all witnessed that breakdown and we all felt like we got punched in the

gut. We literally felt sick to our stomachs.

We went back into the office and we said we have to demonstrate now to the public and to our customers, to our fans, that we are serious about horse welfare, jockey welfare, and creating the right foundation for this sport to exist and thrive in the future. That is why we pulled together and advanced the reforms that we had been talking about in an open letter to the public to demonstrate our commitment.

The days that followed, and I have to commend Nick Alexander and Greg Avioli from the TOC, we had some tough discussions and I appreciated the frank discussions we had, but we all came together and we formed an agreement regarding the very progressive reforms around no race-day medication to adopt and be consistent with the IFHA standards.

So I commend the relationship and the way we worked together to be able to achieve that. And I commend the Board and Chuck Winner for working alongside with us and being a great soundingboard to where we wanted to go during a very short period of time, in a very short period of time.

The next step is perhaps an even more sensitive subject but it is one that we hear the most about and that is the use of the crop. And we call it a crop because a whip sounds more -- it sounds worse, so we call it a crop.

After we announced the adopted reforms, together with the TOC, our team, Tim Ritvo and myself, we went to Sacramento to meet with various legislators to explain what is going on at Santa Anita and what we intended to do about it. We met with the Attorney General and about eight legislators that day, and including the staff from the Governor's Office. And we had a lot of explaining to do.

But we also stated that we're very committed to these reforms, we're very committed to -- we're in this for the long haul to make racing in California at our two racetracks the best in the world, and we are committing to doing that. We're committing to -- we're committed to working together with all the stakeholders to demonstrate that we do have the best racing in the world. We care for horses and we're going to do the right thing on behalf of the horses and the jockeys.

Change can be scary, I get it, and I really do respect the various comments made from the various stakeholder groups. I know change is difficult but I also believe when you take a principled stand and you make change for the better and for the right reasons, and demonstrate that we're committed to doing the right thing and regain public confidence, that we will end up in a better position.

Maybe I can turn for a moment to Jerry Bailey sent us a letter. He couldn't be here today. And I won't read

the whole thing but we'll submit the whole thing for the And Jerry wanted us to read the letter but I'm only 2 3 going to read a portion because it's very lengthy. you'll permit me, I will do that now. 4 5 And Jerry says, 6 "To The Stronach Group, by way of introduction, my name is 7 Jerry Bailey. I was a thoroughbred jockey for 31 years. 8 I'm a member of the Racing Hall of Fame and since my 9 retirement from active racing in 2006 have been a Television 10 Racing Analyst for ESPN and, currently, NBC Sports. 11 "One of the reforms -- one of the new reforms proposed by TSG is limiting the use of the riding crop solely for 12 13 corrective safety measures. I firmly believe that the use 14 of the riding crop had absolutely nothing to do with the 15 equine catastrophic injury problem at Santa Anita. believe that limiting the use of the riding crop as proposed 16 17 will do anything to prevent such occurrences in the future. 18 "Nevertheless, I do feel that the time has come to accept 19 such changes. The optics to the general viewing public of 20 jockeys violently striking their mounts is surely not what 21 our sport wants to promote and the time has likely come to 22 change that. 23 "So as not to sound hypocritical, I will be the first to 24 admit that during my career I overused the whip on many 25 occasions and would likely have been against these changes

if I was still an active rider. But viewing the sport from 2 a different seat and with the understanding that our culture 3 has changed dramatical 4 "I will concede the point that some horses will not put 5 forward their best effort without being forced to. But I 6 also believe racing, without the use of the whip, will still 7 be competitive and race times will be very similar to what they are understand current conditions. I believe the 8 9 benefit to the public perception of our sport will outweigh 10 the concerns a jockey would have when riding under the new 11 proposed constraints. "Having ridden over 31,000 races, I do not recall needing 12 13 the whip to control my mount for safety reasons more than a 14 I certainly believe it was less than one percent few times. 15 I wouldn't totally disagree if jockeys wanted of the time. to keep the whip for safety reasons but the reigns are the 16 17 best tool for control. 18 "Also keep in mind that some jockeys could be tempted to go 19 beyond that in order to win, so there's also the additional 20 option of taking the whip away entirely. 21 "As I stated, times have changed. 22 "Sincerely, Jerry Baily." 23 I would like to conclude by thanking Chairman 24 Chuck Winner, the Board, and the team at the CHRB for 25 writing this progressive rule change. We at The Stronach

```
Group and I myself, I am committed to helping to see that we
 2
    can get this rule implemented as soon as possible and put
 3
    into regulation.
 4
              Thank you.
 5
              CHAIR WINNER: Thank you very much, Belinda.
 6
              Comments? Ouestions?
              Yes, please, Commissioner Maas.
 8
              COMMISSIONER MAAS:
                                  So --
 9
              CHAIR WINNER: Hold on. Hold on.
10
                                  I'd just like to ask a
              COMMISSIONER MAAS:
11
    question, if I might, before we start the motion.
12
    Chair, can I ask just a question of our stewards, just out
13
    of curiosity, on the whip rule?
14
              CHAIR WINNER: The stewards? You want Darrel
15
   McHarque?
16
              COMMISSIONER MAAS: Darrel.
                                           Darrel.
                                                     I'm sorry.
17
              And I've just been curious about this as somebody
18
   who likes exotic bets and is always upset at jockeys that
19
    don't prosecute a race in the Superfecta for fourth, and you
20
    take the whip away, I'm just curious from a steward's
21
   perspective, how do you supervise a jockey who is not
22
   prosecuting a race, and how do you intend to do that?
23
              MR. MCHARGUE: Darrel McHarque, Chief Steward for
24
   the California Horse Racing Board.
25
              It is possible to encourage a horse without ever
```

touching a horse. You can flag a horse, which is showing 2 him the whip. There are going to be horses that aren't 3 going to perform their utmost and if they're not, they're struck with a whip. However, we're all stewards of the 4 5 game. And we've heard loud and clear today, the game is changing, and that perception is one of the biggest things 6 7 that we face now. 8 So the stewards will enforce whatever rule is on the books. And there is a way to encourage a horse without 9 10 ever striking him with a whip --11 COMMISSIONER MAAS: Thank you very much. 12 MR. MCHARGUE: -- or a cop. 13 CHAIR WINNER: Yeah, crop. 14 COMMISSIONER MAAS: Correct. 15 CHAIR WINNER: All right, is there -- do you want to read the motion? Does Staff recommend a motion as 16 17 amended? 18 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Well, Mr. Chairman, 19 I'll read the proposed language that you had submitted that 20 is a little different than what is in the Board book. This 21 is a change, if you're looking at the proposed language on 22 page 8-3, this is a change to the bottom of it where it 23 eliminates Paragraph C, then it begins, "If a jockey rides 24 in a manner contrary to this rule" and is replaced by a new 25 Paragraph C that says -- let me read what that says, first

of all, the original language.

2.3

It says, "If a jockey rides in a manner contrary to this rule the mount may be disqualified and the jockey may be suspended or otherwise disciplined by the stewards," and we gave you subsequent language that said, "If in the opinion of the stewards the misuse of the crop resulted in the horse achieving a better placing," and that would relate to that disqualification language.

There is new proposed language that the Chairman has submitted that replaces that. It says, "If a jockey rides in a manner contrary to this rule: number one, the jockey may be suspended or fined by the stewards; number two, the jockey's share of the purse shall be forfeited if in the opinion of the stewards the unauthorized use of the crop caused it to achieve a better placing."

Commissioners, we're talking about a situation that can be looked at, obviously, from the perspective of the rider but also the owner, trainer, and the player. And if, as a matter of fact, a jockey decided, or even accidentally, to ride contrary to these restrictions and the horse responded and had an advantage because of that, it would be no different than what the stewards look at now when there is interference in a race and a horse achieves a better placing or another horse is cost a better placing, so that's the purpose of that alternative language.

COMMISSIONER MAAS: I'll make a motion to move the 1 2 alternative language. CHAIR WINNER: Okay, there's a motion. 3 Is there a second? 4 5 VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: I'll second it. CHAIR WINNER: Okay. Moved by Commissioner Maas, 6 7 seconded by Vice Chair Auerbach. Is there discussion? 8 discussion? 9 VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: I'm just, I'm a little 10 confused about what you said, Rick. I mean, we've moved it 11 and seconded it but you didn't get down to what that 12 actually would mean in terms of the better and in terms of 1.3 the rider. 14 So can we take a minute and talk about that? Because I think we should. 15 16 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: So the first version 17 basically said if a jockey rides in a manner contrary to the 18 rule and uses the riding crop, say at a critical time in the 19 race, the example I use is you have three horses head-and-20 head at the 16th pole and one of the riders decides to use 21 the crop and his horse inches clear of the others, the stewards then would make a decision if the use -- the misuse 22 2.3 of the crop, in this case, the unauthorized use, caused that 24 horse, his horse, to achieve a better placing? And if they 25 decided that that was the case, the original language says

```
that that horse can be disqualified.
 2
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: And this, the new, the
 3
    language that we just approved, says that the horse would
 4
   not --
 5
              CHAIR WINNER: We didn't approve it; there's a
 6
   motion.
 7
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: -- or that we're -- the
 8
   motion that was made and that I seconded would refer to the
 9
    fact that if that particular instance occurred you would to
10
    disqualify the horse?
11
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Right.
12
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: You would -- it would mean
13
   that the horse and the ownership and the betters who bet on
14
    that particular horse would still benefit from what
15
   happened? The person who would be held responsible would be
16
   the rider?
17
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Correct.
18
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Okay.
                                           I want to make sure
19
    that people get the difference --
20
              CHAIR WINNER: That is the --
21
              CHAIR WINNER: -- in what we're talking about.
              CHAIR WINNER: That is the motion.
22
23
              All right, is there any more discussion?
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Commissioner Alfieri?
24
25
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI:
                                    This is a vote?
```

```
1
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             This is a vote.
 2
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Aye.
 3
              CHAIR WINNER: Commissioner Maas?
              COMMISSIONER MAAS:
 4
                                  Yes.
 5
              CHAIR WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS:
 6
                                   Yes.
 7
              CHAIR WINNER: Chairman votes yes.
 8
              Commissioner Auerbach?
 9
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Yes.
10
              CHAIR WINNER: Motion carries unanimously.
11
              Again, I want to make the point that under state
12
    law, under statute, this matter now goes out for 45 days for
13
   public comment and it has to go through the process.
14
              What I am going to do is I'm going to ask Staff to
15
   move this item to the top of the list of what they're
   working on so that it can go to the Office of Administrative
16
17
   Law more rapidly, at least to expedite the process, but
18
    there is still a process which we have no control over, it's
19
    statutory.
20
              So if you, you know, if you go to the races in the
21
   next few days or next week or next month, this law will not
22
   be in effect because it can't be under state law. It will be
2.3
   in effect as soon as it can be if it is again voted upon
24
   when it comes back to the Board and the Board again votes on
25
    it or amends it, that's when it will become law,
```

essentially, but not now. 2 VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: And I --3 CHAIR WINNER: We'll move it as quickly we can. 4 VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: And I really want to 5 encourage the riders. I really want to encourage them to 6 join us in working with this and seeing how -- I don't know 7 what we can do but I think that we really need to figure it 8 out together, I don't want there to ever be a perception 9 that we're not listening because we are, and see if we can 10 figure it out a way that everybody is more comfortable with 11 what's going on. And really hope you will. 12 CHAIR WINNER: And I just want to say one other 13 thing and just out of respect and fairness. 14 The leadership of the Jockeys' Guild is about as 15 I mean, these are people who are totally good as it gets. dedicated. They're dedicated to the safety of the horses. 16 17 They're absolutely dedicated to the health and safety of the 18 jockeys. We all know what these riders go through and how 19 difficult it is for them. And every day, they put their 20 life in their hands to benefit the rest of us who enjoy the 21 sport. So this is not a reflection on the Guild. 22 23 not a reflection on the jockeys. I can't tell you how much 24 I respect what they do and how well they do it. 25 We work every day with Darrel here; he's

fantastic. He represents his members incredibly well. And there's nobody -- I mean, Darrel will come to us more often than not with ways to improve safety of the horse.

So we're not -- I know this is a difficult time, especially for the riders, but I agree with Madeline. I agree with Vice Chair Auerbach, we want to work with you, you want to work with us, let's do the right thing going forward. And I know we have a difference of view on that but maybe we can work it out.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Just to follow up,
Mr. Chairman, on what you said, just to be absolutely clear,
this proposed rule is now going to go through the regulatory
process. Therefore, for the owners, the trainers, and
particularly the riders, at this point, nothing has changed.
The rule that has been on the books will still be enforced
by the stewards, the rule that says that the crop cannot be
used more than three times in succession without giving the
horse a chance to respond, no change at this point in that
rule.

CHAIR WINNER: Okay.

We're going to move on. Item number ten, discussion and action by the Board regarding the Board's authority to transfer a race meeting or a portion of a race meeting to another track if necessary as authorized pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 19489.

```
1
              Rob, is this -- are you going to speak on this,
 2
   Rob?
              MR. BRODNIK: Yes, Chairman. Thank you.
 3
 4
   Brodnik, California Horse Racing Board.
 5
              Chairman, Commissioners, item number ten addresses
   the Board's absolute authority under the California Business
 6
    and Professions Code to authorize the transfer of the race
 7
   meeting to another track in situations where the current
 8
 9
   track has become unsuitable for racing because of certain
10
    situations, such as a catastrophe. This authority, under
11
    the law, does require the consent from both the licensed
    track and the track where the meet would relocate to.
12
13
              Staff placed this item on the agenda to provide
14
    the Board the authority to act today to give the parties the
15
    ability to act quickly in the future should such a need
    arise.
16
17
              CHAIR WINNER: Is there any discussion on -- I
18
    don't have any cards on this item.
19
              Does anybody want to comment on this item?
20
              Is there any discussion?
21
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: I think it's a great option
22
   to have --
23
              CHAIR WINNER: Would you like to move it?
24
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: -- without going through
25
   the whole process --
```

```
1
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Right.
 2
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: -- or the ability to do it
 3
    immediately.
 4
              CHAIR WINNER: Would you like to move?
 5
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: I'll move to approve item
 6
   number ten.
              CHAIR WINNER: Second?
 8
              COMMISSIONER MAAS: Second.
 9
              CHAIR WINNER: Commissioner Maas.
10
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: I knew you -- I could see by
11
    your face, you didn't know --
12
              CHAIR WINNER: Oh.
13
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: -- what we were --
14
              CHAIR WINNER: I'm sorry, ERic.
15
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: -- talking about, so --
16
              MR. SINDLER: Eric Sindler on behalf of The
17
    Stronach Group.
18
              Our question is: What is being moved? If the
19
    statute is already enacted by the legislature, I'm not sure
20
   what is being voted on.
21
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: What's being voted
22
    on is the statute gives the Board the authority to move a
2.3
   race meet from one track to another with the approval of the
24
   licensed race meet and the approval of the racetrack that
25
   would then accept the race meet to be run there, so the
```

sending track and the receiving track.

Here's the thought on this. If that situation arose, as everybody is, by this time, well aware, notice would have to be given by this Board of a meeting to be held ten days in advance, and that's presuming that all the Board members could be there. So rather than be faced with an industry that wanted to do this, the existing licensed rate meet agreed that it wanted to move its race meet to another location and the other location agreed to take it, if the parties agreed then the motion today would be to approve such a move, conditioned upon the approval of those two parties.

And the law is clear that the race meet can be moved to another location in the same area. In this case Staff's interpretation of that would be either Los Alamitos or Del Mar in the central and southern zone.

MR. SINDLER: Okay, so just to be clear, the only thing that's being approved is in the future if there's a situation and if the track that would lose its license agrees --

CHAIR WINNER: Absolutely.

MR. SINDLER: -- then only if? Okay.

CHAIR WINNER: It basically prevents the problem of, if everybody agreed but we couldn't do it because of the regulations that require ten days public notice and getting

```
a quorum for a meeting, even though you want -- let's assume
 2
   it was your track that wanted to do it or wanted to receive
 3
   it and the other track wanted to do it or receive it, it
   couldn't happen because of the sort of regulatory problems,
 4
 5
   the hurdle that we'd have to face. This eliminates that
 6
   hurdle.
              MR. SINDLER: Got you.
 8
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay? Thank you.
 9
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Mr. Chairman, I have a
   question.
10
11
              CHAIR WINNER: Yes.
12
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: This applies, also, to the
13
    fairs?
            It applies to any race course?
14
              CHAIR WINNER: It applies to any race meet.
15
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Right.
16
              CHAIR WINNER: Yeah.
17
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Any meet. Any meet.
18
              CHAIR WINNER: Anyone who has the dates.
19
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI:
                                     Right.
20
              CHAIR WINNER: Yeah.
21
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: That's what I thought.
22
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay.
                                    It's your motion.
23
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Yes.
              CHAIR WINNER: It's been seconded.
24
25
              Yes, Rob, did you want to say something?
```

```
1
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                            You might want to
 2
   make it specific.
 3
              MR. BRODNIK: I just wanted to be clear, is the
 4
   motion to limit just to this race meet, not to every race
 5
   meet in the future but just to this?
 6
              CHAIR WINNER: Yeah, that's a good point.
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Yeah.
 7
 8
              CHAIR WINNER: Well, yeah, let's be clear.
 9
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Well, for this year.
10
              CHAIR WINNER: This particular motion, this
11
   particular item, relates only to this race meet. Other race
12
   meets will be presented --
13
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Okay.
14
              CHAIR WINNER: -- at future times but this relates
15
   to this race meet.
16
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Got it.
17
              CHAIR WINNER: So we're only talking about if
18
    something should happen between now and whatever it is, June
19
    25th or whatever, the end date is of this meet. And if The
20
    Stronach Group agreed and Del Mar and Los Alamitos,
21
   whatever, agreed --
22
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Got it.
23
              CHAIR WINNER: -- then we could act immediately.
24
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI:
                                     I got it.
25
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             That's really what we're talking
```

```
about here.
                 Okay?
 2
              The motion is on the floor.
 3
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Yes.
              CHAIR WINNER: Seconded.
 4
 5
              Commissioner Alfieri?
 6
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI:
                                     Yes.
 7
              CHAIR WINNER: Commissioner Maas?
 8
              COMMISSIONER MAAS:
                                  Yes.
 9
              CHAIR WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
10
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
11
              CHAIR WINNER: Chair votes yes.
12
              Vice Chair?
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH:
13
                                    Yes.
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay.
14
                                    The motion carries
15
   unanimously. Thank you.
16
              Moving on then to item number 11.
17
              And by the way, for you folks that were wondering
18
   what issues the public cares about, you might notice that
19
   all the cameras or most of the cameras have packed up and
20
    left because the discussion of the crop rule is over.
21
    That's what the public cares about. Hopefully they care
22
    about some of these other things that we're working on, as
23
   well, but that one is the one that the media knows they care
   about the most.
24
25
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: It's amazing because we
```

haven't even taken a vote on getting racing started and they just disappeared, so that's really unique.

CHAIR WINNER: Okay, let's go on to item number 11, discussion and action by the Board regarding the proposal to suspend one or more medications authorized in CHRB Rule 1844, Authorized Medication, for all horses participating in a horse race meeting at Santa Anita Park and/or Golden Gate Fields pursuant to CHRB Rule 1844.1, suspension of Authorized Medication.

Dr. Arthur, are you going to speak on this?

EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Yes, I am, Mr.

Chairman. I'm Dr. Rick Arthur, Equine Medical Director.

1844.1, Suspension of Authorized Medication, allows the Board to suspend authorized thresholds for those medications or endogenous substances authorized in Rule 1844. The goal moving forward is to be consistent with IFHA International Rules as expressed in Article 6 in the IFHA International Agreement.

Dr. Benson, who is with me here today, and I both sit on the IFHA Prohibited Substance -- or Advisory Council on Prohibited Substances at the IFHA. We're very familiar with the IFHA rules. And, as I think most people in the industry know, I have supported international rules for a long time.

Our review of CHRB 1844, which is consistent with

the National Uniform Medication Program, is that with the 2 exception of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and 3 sterodial anti-inflammatory drugs, also known as corticosteroids or cortisones, 1844 is consistent enough 4 5 with IFHA Article 6 relative to the corresponding screening 6 limits published by the IFHA that we only need to address 7 those two very important classes of drugs, that's the 8 nonsteroidal anti-inflammatories and the corticosteroids. 9 To be clear, the other major outlier between the 10 CHRB and IFHA Article 6 is race-day furosemide. Furosemide 11 is not authorized in Rule 1844 and therefore cannot be suspended under 1844.1. The furosemide issue will be 12 13 addressed later with other actions that are similarly --14 that similarly cannot be addressed using 1844.1 but I'll let 15 Staff Counsel explain those when we get to them. 16 I will list the currently authorized threshold 17 medications for which authorization will be suspended for the Golden Gate Fields -- the remainder of the Golden Gate 18 Fields and Santa Anita meets if the Board agrees. 19 20 For steroidal anti-inflammatories: 1844(c)(1), 21 phenylbutazone; 1844(c)(2), flunixin; 1844(c)(3), 22 ketoprofen; 1844(f)(5), diclofenac; 1844(f)(7), virocoxine 2.3 (phonetic). For sterodial anti-inflammatories, or the 24 corticosteroids, that will be -- authorization will be 25 suspended, that's: 1844(f)(1), betamethasone; 1844(f)(4),

```
dexamethasone; 1844(f)(10), methylprednisolone; 1844(f)(12),
   prednisolone; 1844(f)(13), triamcinolone acetonide;
 2
 3
    1844(f)(16), isoflupredone.
              These are the medications for which we need to
 4
 5
    suspend authorized threshold levels to be consistent with
 6
    IFHA Article 6. All are anti-inflammatories, either
 7
    steroidal or nonsteroidal.
              The other authorized levels, we believe, are
 8
 9
    consistent with IFHA screening limits. Those remaining
    could be considered drug residues or horse health-related
10
11
    issues, such as the anti-ulcer medications.
12
              I'm here for any questions. And I've asked Dr.
13
   Benson to join me. She just headed up a Regulatory
14
   Veterinarians Conference just six weeks ago where we
15
   actually compared and contrasted the IFHA and National
16
    Uniform Medication Policy Regulations. So if you have any
17
    questions, either one of us can answer.
18
              And I don't know if Dr. Benson has anything to
19
   add?
20
              DR. BENSON: The only thing I would add is from
21
    our --
22
              CHAIR WINNER: Can you just --
23
              DR. BENSON:
                           I'm sorry.
              CHAIR WINNER: Yeah.
24
25
              DR. BENSON: Dr. Dionne Benson from the Racing
```

Medication Testing Consortiuam.

The only thing I would add is that these changes really do put us in step with the international community. It will make the interchange of horses internationally easier. You will be much closer to all of their international regulations. And truly, these two areas are areas that can have an impact on the appearance and the ability of trainers and veterinarians to observe horses and make sure that they are appropriately ready to run. So these certainly, while they may not have prevented any breakdowns that have occurred, they certainly will allow us to better judge the horses as we look at them.

CHAIR WINNER: Thank you.

14 Rick?

2.3

EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: We've had this discussion many times before. Horses don't talk to us, so it's really incumbent upon us to be able to evaluate the soundness of those horses.

19 CHAIR WINNER: Maybe your horses don't talk to 20 you.

EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: But antiinflammatories, the key sign is you look for signs of
inflammation. And both nonsteroidal and steroidal antiinflammatories really make it difficult for not only the
examining veterinarians but the trainers of those horses and

```
the jockeys to know what the real condition of the horse is.
 2
    And that's why we've been working to lower these for the
 3
    last several years.
 4
              So you know, these are certainly reasonable steps.
 5
    We've met with trainers, we've met with veterinarians here
 6
   at Santa Anita. I think we're as prepared as we can be.
 7
    I've consulted with Dr. Knych and Dr. Muller at the
 8
    laboratory. We think we're in a position to properly apply
 9
    the changes that we're proposing here.
10
              CHAIR WINNER: I'm sure that everyone in the room
11
    is very familiar with every one of the drugs that you
12
   mentioned listed there, so we'd be prepared to vote on them
13
   as you mentioned them.
14
              Is there any discussion?
15
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: I have a question --
              CHAIR WINNER: Yes.
16
17
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: -- for Dr. Benson.
18
              Please tell everybody where you're from and what
19
             And by the way, thank you for helping during time.
20
    We've really -- we've needed you and thank you very much.
21
    I see you out here every morning. I know how hard you've
    worked and I think that everybody out here should know that.
22
23
     So please share with us.
24
                                       It is truly my pleasure
              DR. BENSON:
                           Thank you.
25
   to be able to help, really. That is one of the things that
```

the organization I work for does.

2.3

The Racing Medication Testing Consortium is a nonprofit (microphone feedback) -- excuse me -- that's located in Lexington, Kentucky. We deal with recommendations on the usage and policies of medication, as well as the testing that's done in the laboratories. We accredit your lab at University of California Davis, among eight others in the United States. We also help to develop many policies. Some of the policies that you're going to be discussing at your meeting, your Medication Meeting, including the trainer recordkeeping rule, those are policies we've developed.

So really, our overall arching goal is to protect the horse, meaning we put the horse first, the integrity of the sport, and the safe of the jockeys are really our three goals in racing. And because I'm a vet, I put the horse first.

So thank you.

CHAIR WINNER: Thank you.

By the way, I also want to thank, for their help in understanding and working with all of those people involved in these difficult issues and changes, I want to thank Alan Balch and Jim Cassidy and the trainers at CTT for everything that they've done with respect to all of these issues.

1 All right, there are other speakers who would like to speak, so if you'd like to stay there so you can answer 2 3 these questions, perhaps better than any of us can, if there 4 are questions that arise. 5 Susan Branch? I also have an Allen Branch. Is that -- are you 6 7 guys related or are they totally separate? MS. BRANCH: He's my husband. 8 We're --9 MR. BRANCH: I know her vaguely. 10 But we don't always agree on every MS. BRANCH: 11 subject, so I think he should be allowed to speak for 12 himself. 13 My name is Susan Branch. I'm a resident of California and I've been a licensed horse owner for almost 14 15 In addition to racing, my husband and I breed 20 years. horses in California and in Kentucky. 16 17 I wrote a letter to the CHRB last week when I 18 looked at the notice of meeting. I don't know if you've had 19 a chance to read it but I know Mr. McDonough has copies of 20 it. And it outlines some of my issues and concerns, maybe 21 better than I can articulate them here. 22 My first concern is the process that is being used 2.3 to bring items 11, 12 and 13 to you for action. I can say with a fair amount of confidence, having practiced law for 24

18 years as a government attorney, that California law does

25

not really contemplate what has happened here.

A corporate owner of a track threatens licensed participants, horse owners, and trainers that if they do not agree to change existing rules and regulations it will close the track and they would not be permitted to earn an living. And then when it took some time for a notice, they closed the track, even though it had been pronounced safe.

California law requires that public policy be enacted in public, not behind closed doors, and with fair notice of the new policies and a significant opportunity for the public to comment, as you noted in the prior item when you adopted a rule that would be -- allow for a 45-day comment period.

Before this hearing, Ms. Stronach is quoted in the Blood Horse as saying, "This is a complete revision of the current medication policy for thoroughbred racing. We have worked through the implementation of this groundbreaking model with our stakeholders and the California Horse Racing Board."

My immediate question is: Is this hearing just for show?

The public has only had ten days of notice that changes to these regulations will be enacted. And I must say, I was confused at reading what kind of action you were going to take with item 11. It does not mention any

medications. It doesn't mention the new standards. So how 2 can we meaningfully comment to you? Because we don't know 3 or we didn't know until today what the changes would be. It seems to me that doesn't meet the process 4 5 requirements of California law, which I think are 6 thoughtful. It doesn't want us to rush to decisions to make 7 regulations, or remove them, without taking some time to 8 listen, to listen to people who own horses, who have 9 experiences that may effect the decisions that you make, 10 giving opportunity for experts to weigh in on the issues. 11 And so I think this is too soon. You haven't 12 allowed a fair opportunity. 13 I know that TOC met with the management but they 14 didn't talk to their owners before they made the decision 15 and made the agreement, so they don't really know what we 16 think. 17 Because I understand from Dr. Arthur that this 18 does not -- this particular item does not address lasix, 19 I'll save some of my comments for later if that's 20 approximately, or I can move on to my other comments if you 21 don't want to hear from me again. 22 CHAIR WINNER: It would be best if your lasix 2.3 comments come at item 13 which is the lasix issue on the 24 agenda. 25 MS. BRANCH: Okay. Lasix wouldn't be involved in

```
item 12?
 2
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
 3
              CHAIR WINNER: No, it is not.
 4
              MS. BRANCH: Okay. Thank you. I really would
 5
   urge you to take time --
 6
              CHAIR WINNER: Thank you.
 7
              MS. BRANCH: -- greater time before you consider
 8
   this item.
 9
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Thank you.
10
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Mr. Chairman, if you
11
    think it's appropriate, I'll read the authorization, the
12
    authorizing language in our --
13
              CHAIR WINNER: Yeah, please do.
14
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: -- Rule 1844.1,
15
   which is Suspension of Authorized Medication. "After a
   public meeting that has been noticed in accordance with
16
17
   Government Code section 11125(a)," which is this meeting,
18
    "the Board may, for cause, temporarily suspend the
19
   authorized administration to a horse entered," (clears
    throat) excuse me, "the authorized administration to a horse
20
21
    entered to race of any drug, substance, or medication that
    is otherwise permitted under Rule 1844, Authorized
22
2.3
   Medication."
24
              I think the authority of the Board to act in this
25
   instance couldn't be more clear.
```

CHAIR WINNER: Rob, did you want to --

2.3

MS. BRANCH: The only comment I would say is that, and I don't want to argue, I'll certainly not do that, is that it was not clear from your notice what medications you were talking about. It wasn't. I reread it three times while I was sitting here this morning. It didn't list anything so how would we know what the changes were? I think that's the part that's the problem.

MR. BRODNIK: And I'll just -- Robert Brodnik,
California Horse Racing Board, just to make a comment on the
validity of the notice. We believe the notice was pursuant
to our requirements.

The notice did clearly state that the Board has the authority to suspend any medication listed in 1844. And as Dr. Arthur delineated, all those medications are spelled out in 1844. So it's only limited to those medications and that's the authority of the Board.

EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: And certainly the Board, the way it's noticed, could suspend all 33 -- authorization for thresholds for all 33 of the drugs listed under 1844. You don't have to take my recommendation on just limiting the suspension to the 11 drugs I've identified. So I'm just, certainly, making this recommendation based on my evaluation of IFHA Article 6 and where there's compatibility between IFHA screening limits

```
and our thresholds.
                         So it's up to the Board whether to take
 2
   that recommendation.
              I think Dr. Benson has come to the same
 3
 4
   conclusion. I've certainly consulted with her on this.
 5
              But again, the way it's proposed, you can suspend
   authorization for all of those substances. But I think
 6
 7
    limiting it to the 11 that we've identified, the anti-
 8
   inflammatories, makes it simpler. It certainly allows the
 9
   horsemen, the trainers and the veterinarians an
10
   understanding to treat horses with, which are really
11
    residues for the most part with the other drugs, except for
12
   maybe some anti-ulcer medications, to not change all of the
13
   processes at this particular time.
14
              The key are the anti-inflammatories. Those are
15
   the big differences.
16
              CHAIR WINNER: And this only applies to this meet?
17
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: This only applies
18
   to Santa Anita and --
              CHAIR WINNER: Golden Gate.
19
20
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- Golden Gate
21
    for this meet. And the next, you know, item is a different
22
    issue.
23
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay. Any further discussion?
24
   there a motion?
25
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: So moved.
```

```
1
              MR. BRODNIK: Hold on.
 2
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Oh, wait.
 3
              CHAIR WINNER: Yeah, that's what I thought.
 4
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: We have to -- writing the
 5
   motion as we speak. Oh, you've got to do something with
   your handwriting.
 6
 7
              I move to suspend the authorized administration of
 8
   1844(c)(1), 1844(c)(2), 1844(c)(3), 1844(f)(1), 1844(f)(4),
 9
   1844(f)(5), 1844(f)(7), 1844(f)(10), 1844(f)(12),
10
   1844(f)(13), 1844(f)(16 for the remainder of the Santa Anita
11
    and Golden Gate Fields race meet to begin immediately.
12
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay, I second that motion.
13
              Any discussion?
              Commissioner Alfieri?
14
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Yes.
15
16
              CHAIR WINNER: Commissioner Maas?
17
              COMMISSIONER MAAS: Yes.
              CHAIR WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
18
19
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS:
20
              CHAIR WINNER: Chairman votes yes.
21
              Vice Chair?
22
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Yes.
23
              CHAIR WINNER: Motion carries unanimously.
24
              Going on then to item number 12, maybe a little
25
   more controversial, discussion and action by the Board
```

regarding the proposal to suspend one or more medications authorized in CHRB Rule 1844, Authorized Medication, for all horses participating in all licensed horse race meetings for 12 months pursuant to CHRB Rule 1844.1, Suspension of Authorized Medication.

Rick?

2.3

talking about the same issue here. The question now is -the Board has already acted relative to the current meets
here at Santa Anita and Golden Gate Fields. Now the
question before the Board is whether or not to apply these
same suspensions to any other breeds, any other race meets
in the state, and including up to the permitted 12 months.

CHAIR WINNER: And also let me add to that that any motion on this would require, in my view, a probably two-week period in which the horsemen the veterinarians at other tracks, other than this one, could be informed about the changes in the rules, because there's no way -- they don't know about them like the people at Santa Anita and Golden Gate do, in order for these rules to be, if passed, in order for them to be implemented?

EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Yeah, if the Board wants to move forward, I would actually suggest that you limit it until December 25th of this year for all the other meets, if you want to do that.

The only -- the other issue would be we only have in harness racing, I can't remember, I think there's only 7 to 12 more race days, if that; I would exclude those. We haven't had a fatality in racing for musculoskeletal injury in harness racing in four or five years. But we do need to give the horsemen at Los Alamitos fair warning. We've certainly communicated with the veterinarians and trainers at Santa Anita and Golden Gate as best as we could but we would need time to apprise them.

VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: And what is the appropriate timeframe?

told them that some of these drugs can stick around for over two weeks, so we need to give them at least 14 or 15 days notice on it. So I think if you -- if you wanted to start in 15 days, I think that would be fine. But to give them -- I don't know if they really understood that they were under -- you know, they may be subjected to this. Just remember, our next Board meeting is April 18th, so we're talking about four or five days' difference if you wanted to hold this over. I'm fine either way.

I certainly can communicate as best I can with the veterinarians and trainers at Los Alamitos, go down there and have a meeting, if that's what the Board wants to do.

But you know, it's probably easier for the laboratory to

```
have one rule for all tracks or one procedure for all tracks
 2
   going forward, so the sooner we can get this moving the
 3
   better.
 4
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay.
 5
              Now, there's a motion on the floor and a second.
 6
    In order to have other people speak on it, and I know now
 7
    there are a number of other people who may want to speak on
 8
   it, I'll withdraw the second so that we'll be able to hear
 9
    from public -- hear from the public before we go back to
10
   having a discussion.
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: You didn't make a
11
12
   motion on 12, did you?
13
              CHAIR WINNER: Oh, I'm sorry, that was on 11.
14
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Yeah.
15
              CHAIR WINNER: You're correct.
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: We finished 11.
16
17
              CHAIR WINNER: My error.
18
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                            Yeah.
19
              CHAIR WINNER: We didn't make a motion on 12 yet;
20
   it was only 11.
21
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: It's been a long meeting.
22
              CHAIR WINNER: It's a long meeting. All right.
23
              Greq Avioli?
24
              MR. AVIOLI: Greg Avioli, TOC.
25
              We're not in the position to support the expansion
```

```
of this rule for the full year period. And (indiscernible),
   maybe it's my bad, I didn't really understand that was going
 2
 3
    to be the process today. It was agendaed. I thought it was
 4
   going to be an either/or with the last motion.
 5
              The reason we can't support is that we haven't
   talked about it yet with either -- with any of the effected
 6
 7
   racetracks. So one of the reasons that we were able to get
   up and support item number 11 is we had detailed
 8
 9
   conversations with Belinda and her management team and we
10
   were in agreement. We haven't had the first conversation
11
    about this with Los Alamitos, with CARF, or with Del Mar.
              So I expect in time, I would agree with Dr.
12
13
   Arthur, that will be the right procedure to get everybody on
14
    the same rules in California. I just think timing-wise,
15
    today, we're not prepared to support it.
16
              CHAIR WINNER: Mr. Avioli and Mr. Liebau, who
17
   wants to speak on it, let me just do this to cut this short,
18
    I'm going to move this agenda item to the April 18th
19
   meeting.
20
              MR. AVIOLI:
                           Okay.
21
              CHAIR WINNER: And that will give you time to do
22
    exactly what you're talking about.
23
              MR. AVIOLI:
                           Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
              CHAIR WINNER:
24
                             Thank you.
25
              Going on to item number 13, discussion and action
```

```
by the Board regarding approval of an agreement, pursuant to
   CHRB Rule 1581, Racing Secretary to Establish Conditions,
 2
 3
   between the -- this is an agreement between The Stronach
 4
   Group, TSG, and the Thoroughbred Owners of California, TOC,
 5
    regarding entry conditions involving medication to be
 6
    implemented by Los Angeles Turf Club, LATC, and Pacific
 7
   Racing Association, PRA, at Santa Anita Park and Golden Gate
 8
    Fields, respectively.
 9
              Who's going to speak on this? Do we have -- Eric,
10
    are you going to speak on this? Maybe, Greg, also, if you'd
11
    like?
12
              What we're voting on here is the approval of an
13
    agreement between the parties.
14
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: That's right, Mr.
15
   Chairman.
16
              So the association, with the approval of the
17
   horsemen, can come before the Board and request to insert
18
    language into the conditions of a race, and that's what
19
    they're here to discuss with the Board. Their approval
20
   brought to the Board then requires your approval for them to
21
    stipulate different medication restrictions within their
    conditions.
22
23
              CHAIR WINNER: Eric, go ahead.
24
              MR. SINDLER: Good morning. Eric Sindler on
25
   behalf of The Stronach Group.
```

```
1
              CHAIR WINNER: It's not morning anymore, Eric.
 2
   ahead.
 3
              MR. SINDLER: Oh, wow, it is -- yes, it is good
 4
   afternoon at this point.
 5
              So, yes, Mr. Baedeker accurately addressed the
           A lot of the medication reforms that was agreed to
 6
    issue.
 7
    in agreement with the TOC has been addressed in the previous
   agenda item. All that is now left is a condition of the
 8
 9
   race that a horse will enter with five CCs or less of lasix
10
    and would not have had, and I'm going to butcher the word,
11
   but interarticular something --
12
              MR. AVIOLI: Interarticular.
13
              MR. SINDLER: -- thank you, injection for the
14
   previous 14 days.
15
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay. And again, just for
    clarification, this only involves this year. It does not
16
17
    involve -- I mean, you might have read in various
18
   publications or heard on television or had discussions
    around the racetrack or with others about the future of the
19
20
   use of lasix. This only involves going from ten CCs to five
21
    CCs. That's really all we're dealing with here; correct,
22
    Greq?
23
              MR. AVIOLI:
                           That's out understanding, yes, sir.
24
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Okay. Do you want to introduce
25
   yourself and --
```

```
1
              MR. AVIOLI:
                           Yeah.
 2
              MR. BRODNIK: Mr. Chairman, if I may really
 3
              Robert Brodnick, California Horse Racing Board.
    quickly?
 4
              It's through the end of their race meet.
 5
              CHAIR WINNER: Yeah, through the end of their race
 6
           That's all I mean.
   meet.
 7
                                  This is Greg Avioli, TOC.
              MR. AVIOLI: Yeah.
 8
              That's accurate. That's --
 9
              CHAIR WINNER: Race meets, both race meets.
              MR. AVIOLI: Both meets Golden Gate --
10
              MR. BRODNIK: Yes, Golden Gate Fields and Santa
11
12
   Anita's race meets.
13
              CHAIR WINNER: Yeah.
14
             MR. AVIOLI: So we have entered into the
15
   agreement. A copy of it's right here and it's accurate and
16
   we support it.
17
              CHAIR WINNER: Okay. Any discussion amongst the
18
   Board?
           All right.
19
              There are others who want to speak on this issue.
              Craig Fravel, Breeders' Cup.
20
21
              Hi, Craig, again.
22
              MR. FRAVEL: Mr. Chairman, Craig Fravel, Breeders'
23
                  I love coming to California. I don't so much
    Cup Limited.
24
    coming out for occasions like this one when I know my
25
    friends here in California have had a very difficult time
```

over the last few months.

2.3

So I think it's vitally important for an organization like mine to express our support for California in general. This is one of the great racing venues in the world, one of the great race states in the world. And for somebody who has one foot sometimes in California and another foot frequently in Kentucky, I'm a constant advocate for California racing and the importance of it, as well as for our partners at The Stronach Group who have been amazing partners. If you just walk outside, you'll see how many capital improvements they have made in this facility. So I know what a very tough time this is for all of you.

And anything that we can do organizationally to help, including speaking in favor of these international rules and compliance with international rules on medication, is hugely important.

And so we have stated for a long time, as you may recall, the Breeders' Cup ran for two years here at Santa Anita without lasix in two-year-olds. Those races, as far as I am concerned, were enormously successful. And I would say, as somebody who travels around the world going to races every single month practically, there's nothing to be afraid of here. People run horses under these rules on an international basis every single day. The horses come back in great shape. And there's really nothing to fear. I

think this is going to go over quite well. It will get a lot of support.

And I can't encourage people around this country and around the world to be more supportive of California racing. It's vitally important that this state thrive, prosper, and that you get back open for racing again this week.

So as part of the industry, as a long-time California guy, I hope you make it through tomorrow, through this weekend, and through the rest of this meet in flying -- with flying colors.

And I want to thank, again, The Stronach Group for all their help and all their communication. One thing on this particular rule, it's important for us - as you know, the Breeders' Cup is to be here on November 1st and 2nd of this year - we need to know what the rules are so we can communicate those clearly to horsemen. That's one of the most important functions we have, is to make sure that folks that are coming here from England, Ireland, elsewhere are well aware of what rules they have to comply to and what kind of timeframes they have to do that.

So it's very easy for all us to be a critic. It's easy to sit back in California, or wherever, and just watch someone else struggle here. But I'm here to tell you, whatever we can do to help, we are more than anxious to that

and we give you our very best in terms of proceeding with 2 this and moving forward on these IFHA rules. 3 Thank you. 4 CHAIR WINNER: Thank you. Thank you, my friend. 5 And thank you for all that you have done and are doing for 6 racing over all these years. 7 I think, Ms. Branch, you wanted to speak again on 8 this issue, or both of you do. 9 Allen? 10 Yeah, I'm going to speak, and then MR. BRANCH: 11 she can speak or not. You passed me over on 11 so I'm going to jump in here. And I'll be brief. 12 13 I'm Allen Branch, as Susan said. We've -- 20 14 years, we've bred in Kentucky and California. And we race 15 mostly in California. 16 I just have to speak on lasix; what are we doing? 17 You have accidents. You look at the track and you 18 come up with a big diversion from that in lasix. Lasix is 19 the elephant in the room. These trainers are beside 20 themselves. 21 Did TOC agree? Did the CTT agree? Sure they did. 22 They're desperate to go to work again. They've got 23 They've got to feed their family. mortgages to pay. 24 got to race again, so we'll agree to anything. You've got 25 your foot on their throat.

```
But lasix is not the cause of these accidents.
 1
 2
   What are we doing? And this perception is our problem.
 3
              The PETA lady says whips and lasix. It's like
 4
    lasix is not doping. Medication to help the horse; why
 5
   would you ban a medication to help the horse and then say,
 6
   see, we may do no race-day medication? It has become --
 7
    race-day medication has become lasix.
                                           The other drugs, if
 8
    they're necessary, if they meet international standards,
 9
    that's no problem, but lasix is going to kill this industry.
10
    I'm telling you, we've got bleeders. We control it with
11
    lasix.
12
              CHAIR WINNER: We're talking about going from ten
13
   to five CCs.
              MR. BRANCH: There's horses that already run on
14
15
   eight; what about them?
16
              CHAIR WINNER: Pardon me? Pardon me?
17
              MR. BRANCH: Horses that need eight CCs, not five,
   what do we do with them?
18
              CHAIR WINNER: I'm just telling you that we're
19
20
    talking about going from ten to five.
21
              MR. BRANCH: I understand that. I know what's
22
    coming downstream.
23
              CHAIR WINNER: Well --
24
              MR. BRANCH: Okay.
25
              CHAIR WINNER: -- you can come and discuss it if
```

```
there is something coming downstream.
 2
              MR. BRANCH:
                          Right. So what about a horse that
 3
   needs eight CCs, to hell with it? What's going to happen to
    it?
 4
 5
              But it's helping the horses. It's not hurting the
 6
             Why do we want to do this?
   horses.
 7
              Thank you.
 8
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Thank you.
 9
              Did you want to speak also?
10
              MS. BRANCH:
                           Sure.
11
              CHAIR WINNER: Please do.
12
              MS. BRANCH: And we agree pretty much on this
1.3
    issue.
              CHAIR WINNER: Pretty much?
14
15
              MS. BRANCH: I agree in that I think we're taking
    the issue of the fatalities, which was tragic -- and having
16
17
   had a horse die as a result of an injury, I know, it's a
18
    terrible event for an owner. But I feel very strongly about
19
    lasix and I think the public is not properly informed.
20
              We have a population of thoroughbreds, whether
21
    it's our history of breeding practices or not, that's very
22
   predisposed to bleeding when they exercise. And everything
2.3
    I've read, and I've read a lot because it's a national
24
    debate, indicates that this is the only medication we have
25
   available that controls that. And to take it away subjects
```

the population of horses we currently have to injury. And the more times they bleed, even if it's microscopically, the more potential there is for injury, permanent injury. And sometimes there will be very significant bleeding. Do you want that on your track? I don't think so.

2.3

And I've also read statements from a number of noted veterinarians who say lasix could not have contributed to the catastrophic injuries we had here at this track.

And, in fact, it's beneficial to the horses.

I complement the Board for having implemented an administrative regulation for handling race-day administration of lasix. I thought that was very appropriate. I think the section in the regulation that talks about dosage and how an increased dosage may be handled by virtue of a meeting between the private vet and the racetrack vet, that's what you should continue to do. If you arbitrarily set a limit of five CCs there will be horses that will be injured because they have had incidents of bleeding and five CCs does not control that.

And I would say that TOC and TSG, in coming to this very quick agreement, didn't find out how many horses would have a problem at that low level. And they haven't include a procedure to accommodate those horses. And I think it's unfair to owners to have their horses injured because you adopted an arbitrary rule.

```
1
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Okay. Are you -- I'm sorry, were
 2
   you --
 3
              MS. BRANCH:
                           I have one more comment --
 4
              CHAIR WINNER: Go ahead, please.
 5
              MS. BRANCH: -- and that is with regard to section
 6
    1581, which is cited as the authority here.
 7
              It seems to me strange that that section could be
 8
   used to essentially eviscerate an existing regulation.
 9
    seems to me to be giving away the authority the Board has.
10
   And if they want to do something about lasix, since it's
11
    such a controversial issue and has been strongly supported
12
   by California owners, it ought to be done in a more lengthy
13
   process that would allow owners and trainers to speak to you
14
    about it more fully.
15
              CHAIR WINNER:
                             Thank you.
              Doctor --
16
17
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Mister --
18
              CHAIR WINNER: Yeah.
19
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Mr. Chairman, Dr.
20
   Arthur, Equine Medical Director.
21
              I think some people may forget that we actually
   had a maximum does of five CCs, 250 milligrams, for lasix in
22
2.3
   California for almost a 10- to 12-year period.
                                                     We allowed
24
    it to be increased so we would be uniform with national
25
   policy. And my review of, when handling the Breeders' Cup
```

```
lasix for I think four or five years in California, 90
 2
   percent of the dosages were five or less.
              So we -- it's -- you know, I'm not necessarily
 3
    arguing one way or the other but just so people understand
 4
 5
    that we did have this five CC limit for almost 10 to 12
   years and we still had a winner in every race and there were
 6
 7
   no negative consequences that were apparent.
 8
              CHAIR WINNER: Let me say one other thing, if I
 9
   may, and that is that I understand the concern about how all
10
    owners, all breeders, all trainers feel that they should
   have a voice and that's why we have public meetings but all
11
12
    of them are represented by associations. We can't talk to
13
   every owner. We can't talk to every trainer. That's why
14
   you have associations.
15
              The TOC is represented by its President, Mr.
   Avioli. Mr. Alexander, I think, is the Chairman.
16
17
              So we deal with your organization. It's an
18
    organization that represents the owners. I'm a member of
19
    the organization. I own horses. The same thing is true
   with the trainers.
20
21
              So I recognize that you say that you weren't
    consulted with that individually. We can't deal with that.
22
23
    We have to deal with the representatives of the
24
    organization.
25
              MR. AVIOLI: So just -- Greg Avioli.
                                                    Just one
```

```
final --
 2
              CHAIR WINNER: Wait. Wait.
 3
              Can you turn the mike on?
 4
              MR. AVIOLI: Greg Avioli. Just one final point
 5
   with Mrs. Branch's testimony, that The Stronach Group and
 6
   the TOC got together and did this on our own. This specific
 7
    10 CCs to five CCs was approved by the CTT who were in the
 8
   discussions all day long with both Jim Cassidy and Alan
 9
   Balch. So this was trainer-authorized, as well as owners.
10
              CHAIR WINNER: Thank you. All right, there is a
11
            Is there any other discussion?
12
              COMMISSIONER MAAS: Mr. Chair, can I ask a
    question?
13
14
              CHAIR WINNER: Please.
15
              COMMISSIONER MAAS: Dr. Arthur, there's no race-
    day lasix in Europe, is there?
16
17
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: No.
                                                    IFHA rules
18
    do not allow the administration of any medication on race
19
   day.
              COMMISSIONER MAAS: And so has there ever been a
20
21
    study of how many horses actually bleed; what are we talking
22
    about?
23
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Yeah.
                                                      The horses
24
   that -- there's been a number of studies. In fact, a few
25
   years ago I looked it for a paper and there were over 200
```

```
published papers on the IPH in horses. And typically, in
 2
   the very famous South African study, 80 percent of the
 3
   horses bled.
 4
              COMMISSIONER MAAS:
                                  Eight?
 5
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: 80 --
 6
              COMMISSIONER MAAS: All right.
 7
              EOUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- 80 bled
 8
    endoscopically without lasix and with lasix, 60 percent of
 9
    them bled.
10
              COMMISSIONER MAAS: And a horse that excessively
11
   bleeds, even after lasix, should they be running?
12
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: We're getting
13
    into a very, very detailed discussion. There is in Hong
14
   Kong, where if a horse bleeds three times they're ruled off,
15
   they lose about three percent of their horses a year, most
    of them not before they bleed three times but because the
16
17
    owners retire them voluntarily because the bleeding can't be
18
   controlled.
19
              CHAIR WINNER: I mean, I have a horse --
20
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: That's a no-lasix
21
    area.
22
              CHAIR WINNER: -- on a personal level, I had a
23
   horse, a very -- a graded stake's winner, who bled through
24
   the lasix twice. I retired the horse. I mean, you don't
25
   have to --
```

```
1
              COMMISSIONER MAAS:
                                  Right.
 2
              CHAIR WINNER: -- but it's just, it's a matter of
 3
   personal choice.
 4
              Okay, the measure that we're voting on is to
    approve the contract, the agreement, between The Stronach
 5
 6
   Group and the TOC. We either approve it or we don't approve
         That's the measure.
 8
              I move approval.
 9
              Is there a second?
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Second.
10
11
              CHAIR WINNER: Seconded by Vice Chair Auerbach.
12
              Is there discussion?
13
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: Yes, if we're taking a
14
   vote.
15
              CHAIR WINNER: Is that discussion, yes, or are you
   voting yes?
16
17
              COMMISSIONER ALFIERI: I don't have any
   discussion.
18
              CHAIR WINNER: Commissioner Alfieri --
19
20
              COMMISSIONER MAAS: No discussion.
21
              CHAIR WINNER: -- votes yes.
22
              COMMISSIONER MAAS: Yes.
23
              CHAIR WINNER: Commissioner Maas votes yes.
              Commissioner Solis?
24
25
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS:
                                   Yes.
```

```
CHAIR WINNER: Chairman votes yes.
 1
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Yes.
 2
 3
              CHAIR WINNER: Vice Chair votes yes.
 4
              VICE CHAIR AUERBACH: Yes. Let's race again now.
 5
              CHAIR WINNER: Good luck at the races, folks.
 6
    Good luck tomorrow.
                         Thank you for everything.
              And this meeting stands adjourned.
 8
          (The meeting of the California Horse Racing Board
 9
                       adjourned at 1:23 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and

place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 2nd day of April, 2019.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT\*\*367

Martha L. Nelson

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT\*\*367

April 2, 2019